# Arth Ganga Project: District



February 2022 Submitted to

National Mission for Clean Ganga (NMCG) Submitted by:

IIM Lucknow IIT Roorkee

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY                     | 28 |
|---------------------------------------|----|
| District Overview                     | 37 |
| Introduction                          | 3  |
| Demographic Profile of Tehri(Garhwal) | 4  |
| Agro Climatic profile of the district | 5  |
| Economic Profile of Tehri(Garhwal)    | 6  |
| Quantitative Data Analysis            | 11 |
| Qualitative Data Analysis             | 31 |
| Agriculture, Allied Activities,       | 31 |
| Forestry                              | 33 |
| Energy                                | 34 |
| Tourism                               | 36 |
| Wetland                               | 36 |
| Action Plan development               | 37 |
| Agriculture                           | 37 |
| Forestry                              | 37 |
| Tourism                               | 38 |
| Wetlands                              | 38 |
| Energy                                | 38 |
| Recommendations                       | 40 |
| 5.1. Agriculture and allied sectors   | 40 |
| 5.2. Forestry                         | 43 |
| 5.3. Tourism                          | 43 |
| 5.4. Wetlands                         | 43 |
| 5.5. Energy                           | 44 |
| References                            | 46 |
| Appendices                            | 46 |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Tehri Garhwal is the sacred place of the state of Uttarakhand is known for washing away all sins and thus is of religious importance. An agrarian economy with an adequate amount of precipitation, the district is traversed by Bhilangna, Alaknanda, Ganga, and Yamuna rivers.

The total geographical area of the district is 3642 Km<sup>2</sup>, out of this the permanent pastures are 500 ha, the Cultivable wasteland is 5000 ha. The district has been divided into one or two agroecological zones. The barren and uncultivable land constitutes 5000 ha. Soil types are based on rock physiography also, humus content and embedded parental matters impact fertility. With the net sown area of 56206 ha and gross cropped area of 88461 ha, the Cropping intensity of the district is 157.39%. The irrigating intensity is 190.29%. Cropland area decreased from 900.2 km<sup>2</sup> in 2005 to 853.5 km<sup>2</sup> in 2015. The area under barren land has significantly increased from 1.22 % to 1.47% whereas its share increased from 1.15% to 1.19% during the period. The net sown area has decreased by 0.8% percent during 2009-10 to 2018-19 whereas the area under non-agriculture uses in 2018-19 which has significantly reduced to 10.43%. The major crops types are Wheat, Rice, maize, barnyard millet, finger millet, black gram, masoor, rapeseed mustard, etc. Among the horticulture crops are apple, peach, plum, citrus fruits, dry fruits, etc. along with vegetables, spices. The use of chemical fertilizers is low in the district. The livestock consists of cattle, buffalos, goats, sheep; along with poultry. The share of the primary sector went down from 20.87% in 2011-12 to 14.76% in 2016-17, with an annual average growth rate of 0.18%. The share of the agriculture sector in GDP went down from 43.79% in 2011-12 to 37.84% in 2016 whereas livestock share rose from 30.15% to 33.49% and that of Fishery increased from 0.04% to 0.49% during the same period.

The total forest cover in the district is 2065.98 Km<sup>2</sup> (56.73%). From this total area 272.71 Km<sup>2</sup> comes under the Very Dense Forest category, 1084.08 Km<sup>2</sup> is Moderately Dense Forest, and 709.19 Km<sup>2</sup> area is Open Forest. Forest area increased from 63.54% in 2005 to 64.73% in 2020. The share of forestry and logging in GDP went up from 26.02% to 28.19% during 2011-16. The number of flora and fauna is 1654 with a total of 649 species. The district comprises 29 wetlands mostly rivers/streams. Many temples and scenic aesthetics along with biodiversity pose attractions for tourists every year. Many adventures like trekking, water sports, etc. are present for tourists and should be maintained and promoted as eco-tourism.

The main source of lightning is electricity (87.62%) and the main fuel source is firewood (59%) followed by LPG/PNG (38%). Under the Solar thermal scheme different programs such as Solar water heating systems, solar cooking systems, etc. The source of electricity from solar is only 2.24%. Biomass production potential is high. Five family-sized biogas plants have been installed in the district. Biogas potential from animal waste is calculated approximately as 55 lakh m<sup>3</sup>/year and 2 crores m<sup>3</sup>/year from agricultural waste. Two hydropower plants are in operation, and 23

hydropower stations are undergoing. Sal, Deodar, Chir, Oak, Grewia optiva, endangered Musk Deer, Snow Leopard, Tiger, etc., are a few of the large varied biodiversity residing in the district.

The district needs to buckle up to enhance and promote agricultural practices like organic farming, animal husbandry, and poultry, high-yielding variety seeds, drip irrigation, medicinal plant, spice cultivation, etc. along with promoting small-scale and large-scale industries and commercializing. With adequate measures and precautions along with proper monitoring and promoting eco-supporting actions, the overall development of the district could be enhanced.

## **1 DISTRICT OVERVIEW**

## **1.1 INTRODUCTION**

Tehri Garhwal is a <u>district</u> in the hill state of <u>Uttarakhand</u>, India. Its administrative headquarters is at <u>New Tehri</u>. The district Tehri Garhwal is located between 30°-31' north latitude and 78°-79' east longitude. In the east lies district Rudraprayag west side is bounded by district Dehradun and in the north district Uttarkashi forms its boundary whereas southern boundary is demarcated by district Pauri Garhwal. Geographical area of the district is 3642 sq km. It is fifth largest district in terms of area in Uttarakhand. The district of Tehri Garhwal is divided into two subdivisions: Kirti Nagar and Tehri-Pratap Nagar. The district comprises 7 sub-districts, 7 towns and 1862 villages.

Most of the district is covered under forests which form prominent economic activity of the district. The area covered under forests consists of vast range of species found in the Himalayas. Some of the forests of Chir, Oak, Conifers, Sal and Deodar found in the district are very valuable. Besides this, various types of fruit trees like comel, fig, kaiphal, mulberry, raspberry, kingora, blackberry, apple, pear and plum are found in the district.

Although agriculture is not a remunerative occupation but the economy of the district is based on agriculture. Being in the Himalayan region, the district of Tehri Garhwal contains little level ground. The slope of the hills is usually too steep to cultivate without terracing. In the greater part of the district there are two harvests Kharif and Rabi, as in plains but in cooler climate of the hills the crops require a longer period to ripen and are therefore sown somewhat earlier and reaped later in the plains. Wheat, barley, gram, pea and masoor are chief crops of Rabi whereas paddy, maize, sanwa, mandua and soybean are grown during Kharif. Potatoes and tobacco are extensively produced in the district. There is no large scale industry in the district. Up to March 2009, 24 medium level industries were registered under Factory Act, 1948, out of which 22 medium and 4678 small scale industries are functioning. Dhalwala area is an industrial area where 2 factories of steel/rods are in function.

The total population of the district is 618,931 divided into the 548,792 in rural and 70,134 in urban areas. In four tehsils of Ghansali, Pratapnagar, Jakhnidhar and Dhanaulti there are no urban population. The sex ratio in the district is 1,077. In the rural areas of the district the sex ratio is high at 1.116 while it is only 417 in urban areas. In the district about 76.4 per cent of the population is literate as against about 78.8 per cent in the state of Uttarakhand. In the district about 45.3 per cent in the (280442 persons) pertains to the catetory of working population. Of the total worker population in the district 68% are cultivators, 2.9 per cent engaged as agricultural labourers, 1.4% in household industry and 28.9 % as other workers. Non-workers contribute about 55 per cent of total population.



Figure 1 Map of the district

## **1.2 DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE OF TEHRI(GARHWAL)**

Geographic area: 3642 Km<sup>2</sup> Altitude: 1550 m Rainfall: 1060.3 mm Forest area: 2065.98 Km<sup>2</sup> Rivers: Bhilangna, Alaknanda, Ganga and Yamuna

Administrative Divisions:

| District Headquarter  | New Tehri town |
|-----------------------|----------------|
| District ricadquarter |                |

| No. of Tehsil   | 7    |
|-----------------|------|
| No. of Blocks   | 9    |
| No. of Villages | 1862 |

#### Demographic and Socio-economic Parameters:

| Population            | 6,18,931              |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Population Density    | 170 / Km <sup>2</sup> |
| Sex Ratio             | 1077                  |
| Literacy              | 76.36%<br>4           |
| Occupation/Livelihood | Agriculture           |

#### Table 1 demographic overview

Agriculture is the backbone of the economy of the district. Most of the lands in the district are used for agricultural purposes. More than half of its population are engaged in agriculture in order to earn their livelihood. The chief agricultural products in the district are wheat, rice, tea, maize, barley, tobacco, apples, pear, lime, plum, mango, papaya, potato, onion, peas, etc. In the year 2016-17 the gross domestic product in the district was Rs. 6,47,262 lakh at current price and Rs. 5,32,788 lakh at constant prices in the year 2011-2012. The net domestic product in the district during the period 2016-17 was Rs. 5,65,842 lakh at current price and Rs. 4,62,686 lakh at constant prices in the year 2011-2012. The Per Capita Income or NDDP, At Factor Cost in the district during the period 2016-17 was Rs. 83,662 at current price and Rs. 68,410 at constant prices in the year 2011-2012 (Indian District Stat.).

## **1.3 AGRO CLIMATIC PROFILE OF THE DISTRICT**

## **1.4 ECONOMIC PROFILE OF TEHRI(GARHWAL)**

The primary sector of the district economy has constantly been declining in terms of its share in the GDDP. The share went down from 20.87% in 2011-12 to 14.76% in 2016-17, with an annual average growth rate of 0.18 percent (Table 1). The secondary sector rose from 35.04% to 35.64% during the same period, thus recording an average annual growth rate of 6.74%. Similarly, the tertiary sector's share went up from 44.09% to 49.6% during the same period, with an annual growth rate of 8.95%. Overall, the district economy grew at 6.43 percent per year during the period under study. The real per capita income in the district went up from Rs. 62593 in 2011-12 to Rs.78775 in 2016-17, with an annual growth rate of 4.76% per annum. It can be inferred that the economic condition of the people depending on the primary sector has worsened vis-à-vis the other sectors. However, the agriculture situation of Tehri Garhwal has been much better than of other hill districts along River Ganga as it recorded positive growth on average. In contrast, other districts of the region experienced negative growth.

Table 1: Trends in Gross District Domestic Product and Per Capita DGDP in Tehri Garhwal at Constant Prices (base 2011-12) in Rs Lakhs

|         | Sector-         | wise GD           | DP (Rs,          | lakhs)                | Anr             | nual gro              | wth ra               | ites      |                                | Growth |
|---------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|--------|
| Year    | Pri<br>mar<br>y | Sec<br>ond<br>ary | Ter<br>tiar<br>y | Tot<br>al<br>GD<br>DP | Pri<br>ma<br>ry | Sec<br>on<br>dar<br>y | Te<br>rti<br>ar<br>y | to<br>tal | Per<br>capita<br>DGDP<br>(Rs.) | rate   |
| 2011 12 | 81204           | 136306            | 171512           | 389023                | -               | -                     | -                    | -         | 62593                          | -      |
| 2011-12 | (20.87)         | (35.04)           | (44.09)          | (100)                 |                 |                       |                      |           |                                |        |
| 2012 12 | 85285           | 145749            | 183195           | 414229                | 5.03            | 6.93                  | 6.81                 | 6.48      | 65428                          | 4.53   |
| 2012-13 | (20.59)         | (35.19)           | (44.23)          | (100)                 |                 |                       |                      |           |                                |        |
| 2012 14 | 99886           | 155427            | 207454           | 462767                | 17.12           | 6.64                  | 13.24                | 11.72     | 72132                          | 10.25  |
| 2015-14 | (21.58)         | (33.59)           | (44.83)          | (100)                 |                 |                       |                      |           |                                |        |
| 2014 15 | 76946           | 163707            | 228954           | 469606                | -22.97          | 5.33                  | 10.36                | 1.48      | 72060                          | -0.10  |
| 2014-13 | (16.39)         | (34.86)           | (48.75)          | (100)                 |                 |                       |                      |           |                                |        |
| 2015-16 | 76298           | 176495            | 242524           | 495316                | -0.84           | 7.81                  | 5.93                 | 5.47      | 74798                          | 3.80   |
| RE      | (15.40)         | (35.63)           | (48.96)          | (100)                 |                 |                       |                      |           |                                |        |
| 2016-17 | 78241           | 188873            | 262901           | 530015                | 2.55            | 7.01                  | 8.40                 | 7.01      | 78775                          | 5.32   |
| PE      | (14.76)         | (35.64)           | (49.60)          | (100)                 |                 |                       |                      |           |                                |        |
|         | Avera           | ge growth         | rate             |                       | 0.18            | 6.74                  | 8.95                 | 6.43      | -                              | 4.76   |

Source: UKDES

Note: Figures in Parentheses are percentage share in the total GDDP

Since our focus is on agriculture and allied activities, we further disintegrate the primary sector GDP to know which sub-sector is laggard and which is driving the sector's growth. Table 2 shows the sub-sectoral trends in the primary sector. The sector is divided into agriculture, allied activities, and mining & Quarrying (M & M&Q). As far as sub-sectoral growth of agricultural and allied sectors is concerned, agriculture, including horticulture, increased by 0.48 percent per year from 2011-12 to 2016-17. Livestock, forestry & logging, and Fishery recorded 1.90%, 1.38%, and

225% average annual growth rates. The share of the agriculture sector went down from 43.79% in 2011-12 to 37.84% in 2016-17, while the corresponding share of livestock rose from 30.15% to 33.49%; the share of forestry and logging went up from 26.02% to 28.19%, and that of Fishery increased from 0.04% to 0.49% during the same period. Mining & quarrying output shows a negative growth of 3.26% per annum. Thus, agriculture allied activities (livestock, forestry & logging, and Fishery) are the growth drivers of the primary sector of the agriculture sector.

| Year           | Agriculture | livestock | Forestry<br>& logging | Fishery   | Total Agri & allied | Mining &<br>Quarrying | Primary<br>Sector |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
|                | 32547       | 22408     | 19340                 | 27        | 74323               | 6882                  | 81204             |
| 2011-12        | (43.79)     | (30.15)   | (26.02)               | (0.04)    | (100)               |                       |                   |
|                | -           | -         | -                     | -         | -                   | -                     | -                 |
|                | 38523       | 23888     | 18890                 | 28        | 81329               | 3955                  | 85285             |
| 2012-13        | (47.37)     | (29.37)   | (23.23)               | (0.03)    | (100)               |                       |                   |
|                | [18.36]     | [6.60]    | [-2.33]               | [3.70]    | [9.43]              | [-42.53]              | [5.03]            |
| 2013-14        | 50835       | 24934     | 20207                 | 20        | 95996               | 3890                  | 99886             |
|                | (52.96)     | (25.97)   | (21.05)               | (0.02)    | (100)               |                       |                   |
|                | [31.96]     | [4.38]    | [6.97]                | [-28.57]  | [18.03]             | [-1.64]               | [17.12]           |
|                | 29422       | 23524     | 19434                 | 29        | 72410               | 4536                  | 76946             |
| 2014-15        | (40.63)     | (32.49)   | (26.84)               | (0.04)    | (100)               |                       |                   |
|                | [-42.12]    | [-5.65]   | [-3.83]               | [45.00]   | [-24.57]            | [16.61]               | [-22.97]          |
| 2015.16        | 27340       | 23954     | 20099                 | 349       | 71742               | 4556                  | 76298             |
| 2015-16<br>RF  | (38.11)     | (33.39)   | (28.02)               | (0.49)    | (100)               |                       |                   |
| KL             | [-7.08]     | [1.83]    | [3.42]                | [1103.45] | [-0.92]             | [0.44]                | [-0.84]           |
| 2016.17        | 27696       | 24510     | 20630                 | 355       | 73192               | 5049                  | 78241             |
| 2016-17<br>PF  | (37.84)     | (33.49)   | (28.19)               | (0.49)    | (100)               |                       |                   |
| 1L             | [1.30]      | [2.32]    | [2.64]                | [1.72]    | [2.02]              | [10.82]               | [2.55]            |
| Average growth | 0.48        | 1.90      | 1.38                  | 225.06    | 0.80                | -3.26                 | 0.18              |

Table 2: Trends in GDDP from Agriculture and Allied Sectors in Tehri Garhwal at Constant Prices in Rs. Lakhs (Base 2011-12)

Source: Compile from UKDES

Note: 1. Figures in () are percentage share in the total agriculture & allied GDDP

2. Figures in [] are annual growth rates.

Can crop and horticulture sectors be the growth drivers of the district rural economy? Or should a sustainable development model for the growth of the district economy rely more on livestock, forestry, and Fishery? Can organic farming initiatives by the government through PKVY and Namami Gange programmes be able to revitalize agriculture and provide a decent livelihood to the rural workforce engaging the agriculture? Or should we think of an integrated model of sustainable development focusing on horticulture, livestock, agroforestry, bio-energy and tourism? How can these activities be integrated into the system and re-enforced each other? To get the answer to these questions, we need to get feedback from the district administration.

Table 3 demonstrates the percentage share of sub-sectors within the secondary and tertiary sectors. Manufacturing contributed 36.8 to 41.3% share within the secondary sector, while construction's share ranged from 17.7 to 21.1%. The average annual growth rate is observed highest in manufacturing (36.06 %), followed by electricity, gas and water supply (6.59%) and construction (5.44%). Thus, secondary sector growth in the district is led by manufacturing activities.

Within the tertiary sector, trade, hotels and restaurants constituted the highest share (27.46%) in 2016-17, followed by other services (25.03%), transport, storage and communication (16.74%), and public services (12.13%). The average annual growth rate is observed highest in other services (12.89%), followed by transport, storage and communication (9.75%), financial services (8.51%), and public services (8.26%). Overall, the district economy is driven by the growth of non-agriculture sectors as agriculture and allied activities achieved very low growth as compared to non-agricultural sectors.

Table 3: Trends in the percentage share of the non-agriculture sub-sectors in GDDP at Constant Prices (Base 2011-12)

| Year    | Μ        | El    | С     | Se   | Tra   | Tr    | Fin   | R    | Pu    | Othe  | Ter  |
|---------|----------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|
|         | an       | ect   | on    | со   | nsp   | ad    | an    | ea   | bli   | r     | tiar |
|         | uf       | ric   | str   | nd   | ort,  | е,    | cia   | 1    | с     | servi | У    |
|         | ac       | ity,  | uc    | ar   | stor  | rep   | 1     | es   | ad    | ces   |      |
|         | tu       | ga    | ti    | У    | age,  | air,  | ser   | ta   | mi    |       |      |
|         | ri<br>ri | s,    | on    |      | com   | hot   | vic   | te   | nis   |       |      |
|         | 11       | wa    |       |      | mun   | els   | es    | &    | trat  |       |      |
|         | n        | ter   |       |      | icati | an    |       | pr   | ion   |       |      |
|         | g        | su    |       |      | on    | d     |       | of   |       |       |      |
|         |          | ppl   |       |      |       | res   |       | es   |       |       |      |
|         |          | У     |       |      |       | tau   |       | si   |       |       |      |
|         |          |       |       |      |       | ran   |       | 0    |       |       |      |
|         |          |       |       |      |       | t     |       | na   |       |       |      |
|         |          |       |       |      |       |       |       | 1    |       |       |      |
|         |          |       |       |      |       |       |       | se   |       |       |      |
|         |          |       |       |      |       |       |       | rv   |       |       |      |
|         |          |       |       |      |       |       |       | ic   |       |       |      |
|         |          |       |       |      |       |       |       | es   |       |       |      |
| 2011-12 | 39.32    | 41.03 | 19.65 | 100  | 16.12 | 28.61 | 10.42 | 9.46 | 14.22 | 21.18 | 100  |
| 2012-13 | 36.77    | 41.25 | 17.72 | 100  | 16.46 | 29.33 | 10.51 | 9.63 | 9.47  | 24.61 | 100  |
| 2013-14 | 39.90    | 39.03 | 21.07 | 100  | 15.85 | 28.13 | 10.17 | 8.31 | 12.01 | 25.53 | 100  |
| 2014-15 | 39.66    | 40.07 | 20.27 | 100  | 16.10 | 27.28 | 10.23 | 8.63 | 12.98 | 24.77 | 100  |
| 2015-16 | 40.96    | 40.06 | 18.97 | 100  | 16.83 | 27.50 | 10.48 | 8.54 | 12.80 | 23.86 | 100  |
| 2016-17 | 41.30    | 40.66 | 18.03 | 100  | 16.74 | 27.46 | 10.22 | 8.42 | 12.13 | 25.03 | 100  |
| Average | 36.02    | 6.59  | 5.44  | 6.74 | 9.75  | 8.03  | 8.51  | 6.56 | 8.26  | 12.89 | 8.95 |
| annual  |          |       |       |      |       |       |       |      |       |       |      |
| growth  |          |       |       |      |       |       |       |      |       |       |      |
| (%)     |          |       |       |      |       |       |       |      |       |       |      |

Source: Estimated from statistical handbooks

#### **1.5 The Livelihood Status**

Table 4 shows the livelihood status of the workforce in Tehri Garhwal district and Uttarakhand. In 2017, approximately 45% of workers were self-employed, while the corresponding figure for the state is 56.9%. Regular employment is higher (39.2%) in the district than the state average (24.2%). Casual employment of the workforce is lower in Tehri Garhwal (15.5%) than the state average (18.9%). Thus, the quality of livelihood is relatively good in Tehri Garhwal compared to the state as a whole.

Sectoral distribution of employment again reveals that the primary sector dominates the district economy in terms of workforce. Against 39.3% of workers engaged in the primary sector in the state, the corresponding percentage in the district was 50.9. Contrary to this, the secondary sector contributed only 19.2% to the total workers in the district, while its share in the state was 25.4%. An almost similar pattern is observed in the case tertiary sector. Thus, taking employment and GDP together, we can infer that the economic condition of workers in the primary sector is poorer in the district vis-à-vis other sectors than the state as a whole.

| Employment Status | Sectoral dis | tribution | n of         | Distribution of workers by |         |        |       |         |  |
|-------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|----------------------------|---------|--------|-------|---------|--|
|                   |              |           | employmen    | lt                         |         | Skills |       |         |  |
|                   | Tehri        | Uttara-   | Sector Tehri |                            | Uttara- | Skill  | Tehri | Uttara- |  |
|                   |              | khand     |              |                            | khand   |        |       | khand   |  |
| Self-employed     | 44.4         | 56.9      | Primary      | 50.9                       | 39.3    | Low    | 15.7  | 24.9    |  |
| Regular           | 39.2         | 24.2      | Secondary    | 19.2                       | 25.4    | Medium | 73.2  | 65.5    |  |
| Casual            | 15.5         | 18.9      | Tertiary     | 29.9                       | 35.3    | high   | 11.1  | 9.6     |  |
| Total             | 100          | 100       | Total        | 100                        | 100     | Total  | 100   | 100     |  |
| Poverty (%)       | 13.0         | 15.6      | Gini Coeff   | 0.301                      | 0.308   |        |       |         |  |

Table 4: Livelihood Status in Tehri Garhwal (2017)

Source: Uttarakhand Human Development Report

The distribution of workers by their skills-set indicates that only 11.1% of workers in the district and 9.6% in the state come under the high skills category and the majority of them fall under medium and low categories of skills. Poverty and inequality are slightly lower in the district than in the state, as depicted in the table.

#### **Highlights-1**

The primary sector plays a major role in the district economy, with almost 51% workforce engaged in it, although its contribution to the GDDP is less than 15%.

The agricultural economy of the district is largely driven by livestock, forestry & logging, and Fishery.

Mining & Quarrying recorded negative growth of -3.26 during the period.

Within the secondary sector, manufacturing grew faster than other sub-sectors. Consequently, its share in the secondary sector went up from 39.32% in 2011-12 to 41.30% in 2016-17.

Construction contributes slightly over 18% of the secondary sector's GDP and electricity, gas, water supply contributes less than 41%. The electricity, gas and water supply sector in the district contributes almost equal to that of manufacturing to the secondary sector's GDDP. The influence of power generation in Tehri Dam is visible in the secondary sector's GDDP.

In the acce of the tertians sector the other convices recorded the

# 2 QUANTITATIVE DATA ANALYSIS

#### 2.1 Agriculture and Allied Activities

#### 2.1.1 Trend in Land Use and Land Cover

To understand the trends in land-use and land-cover, we analysed two types of data--GIS-based and statistical data compiled from the district statistical handbooks of Tehri Garhwal. As per the GIS-based data (Figure 1 and Table 5), between 2005 and 2015, the area under snow and glacier as a percentage of the total geographical area has decreased from 226.3 km<sup>2</sup> in 2005 to 218.27 km<sup>2</sup> in 2015. Cropland area also decreased from 900.2 km<sup>2</sup> in 2005 to 853.5 km<sup>2</sup> in 2015. Forest area marginally increased from 63.54% in 2005 to 64.73% in 2020. The area under barren land has significantly increased from 1.22 % to 1.47% during the period.

|              | 2005                    |         | 2015                    |         |  |  |
|--------------|-------------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|--|--|
| LULC classes | Area (Km <sup>2</sup> ) | Area(%) | Area (Km <sup>2</sup> ) | Area(%) |  |  |
| Water        | 39.08                   | 1.07    | 53.69                   | 1.47    |  |  |
| Forest       | 2314.08                 | 63.54   | 2357.64                 | 64.73   |  |  |
| Shrubs       | 112.46                  | 3.09    | 82.57                   | 2.27    |  |  |
| Crops        | 900.2                   | 24.72   | 853.5                   | 23.43   |  |  |
| Built-Up     | 5.49                    | 0.15    | 16.87                   | 0.46    |  |  |
| Barren       | 44.39                   | 1.22    | 59.45                   | 1.63    |  |  |
| Snow and Ice | 226.3                   | 6.21    | 218.27                  | 5.99    |  |  |
| Total        | 3642                    | 100     | 3642                    | 100     |  |  |

Table 5: Land use/ Land cover statistics classes of Tehri Garhwal district



Figure 1: Land Use/Land Cover map of Tehri Garhwal district

Table 6 shows that forest area constituted 66.23% of the total reported area, and it remained constant during the period. The share of barren and uncultivable land has marginally increased from 1.15% in 2011-12 to 1.19% in 2017-18. Areas under permanent pastures have decreased and under trees and gardens have increased in 2018-19. The net sown area has decreased by 0.8% percent during 2009-10 to 2018-19 (Table 6). Overall, land-use pattern does not evince any visible change during the last 8 years, except the area under non-agriculture uses in 2018-19 which has significantly reduced from 11.23% in 2011-12 to 10.43% in 2018-19.

Table 6: Trends in Land-use Pattern in Tehri Garhwal (as % of the total reported area)

| Year    | Tot<br>al<br>Rep<br>orte<br>d<br>Are<br>a<br>(ha) | Are<br>a<br>und<br>er<br>for<br>est | Cult<br>ivab<br>le<br>was<br>tela<br>nd | Cu<br>rre<br>nt<br>Fa<br>Ilo<br>W | O<br>th<br>er<br>F<br>al<br>lo<br>w | Bar<br>ren<br>and<br>unc<br>ulti<br>vab<br>le<br>lan<br>d | Lan<br>d<br>othe<br>r<br>than<br>agri<br>cult<br>ure<br>use<br>(%) | Pa<br>st<br>ur<br>e<br>la<br>nd | Are<br>a<br>und<br>er<br>tree<br>s<br>and<br>gard<br>ens | Net<br>Sown<br>Area |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1       | 2                                                 | 3                                   | 4                                       | 5                                 | 6                                   | 7                                                         | 8                                                                  | 9                               | 10                                                       | 11                  |
| 2011-12 | 485517                                            | 66.23                               | 16.24                                   | 1.46                              | 1.56                                | 1.15                                                      | 1.57                                                               | 0.10                            | 0.45                                                     | 11.23               |

| 2012-13 | 485517 | 66.23 | 15.24 | 1.92 | 1.76 | 1.21 | 1.46 | 0.05 | 0.93 | 11.21 |
|---------|--------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| 2013-14 | 485517 | 66.23 | 15.24 | 1.94 | 1.77 | 1.21 | 1.46 | 0.05 | 0.93 | 11.18 |
| 2014-15 | 485517 | 66.23 | 15.24 | 1.87 | 1.77 | 1.21 | 1.46 | 0.05 | 0.93 | 11.25 |
| 2015-16 | 485517 | 66.23 | 15.24 | 2.01 | 1.79 | 1.21 | 1.46 | 0.05 | 0.93 | 11.08 |
| 2016-17 | 485517 | 66.23 | 15.26 | 2.12 | 1.82 | 1.21 | 1.46 | 0.05 | 0.93 | 11.08 |
| 2017-18 | 485517 | 66.23 | 15.28 | 2.35 | 1.84 | 1.19 | 1.47 | 0.01 | 0.93 | 10.63 |
| 2018-19 | 485517 | 66.23 | 15.42 | 2.40 | 1.85 | 1.19 | 1.47 | 0.01 | 0.99 | 10.43 |

Source: Prepared from District Statistical Handbooks of Tehri Garhwal

## 2.1.2 Trends in Operational Land Holdings

In Tehri Garhwal district, the total number of operational holdings has decreased from 86433 in 2010-11 to 85204 in 2015-16, a net decrease of 1.42 percent. Similar to this, in the state, the number has declined from 912650 in 2010-11 to 881305 in 2015-16, a net decline of 3.43%. The majority of land holdings in the district are marginal and small. These categories of holding together constituted 95.09% in 2015-16, while the corresponding percentage in the state was 91.67% (Table 7).

Table 7: Distribution of Operational Holdings by Size-categories of farms (in %)

|             | Agri,<br>Census | Marginal (0-1 ha) | Small (1-2 ha) | Semi-<br>Medium | Medium<br>(4-10 ha) | Large (10 & above | Total<br>(Nos.)   |
|-------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|             | Consus          | (0 1 114)         | (1 2 ma)       | (2-4 ha)        | (1 10 114)          | ha)               | (1105.)           |
| Tehri       | 2010-11         | 75.11             | 19.03          | 5.18            | 0.65                | 0.02              | 86433             |
| Garnwar     | 2015-16         | 75.32             | 19.77          | 4.51            | 0.39                | 0.01              | 85204<br>[-1.42]  |
| Uttarakhand | 2010-11         | 73.65             | 17.24          | 7.1             | 1.9                 | 0.12              | 912650            |
|             | 2015-16         | 74.78             | 16.89          | 6.59            | 1.64                | 0.1               | 881305<br>[-3.43] |

Source: Compiled from Statistical Handbook 2018-19, UKUES. Figures in [] are percentage increase/decrease in 2015-16 over 2010-11.

## 2.3.3 Trends in Area, Production and Yield of Principal Crops

#### *i. The trend in the Cropping pattern*

The agriculture of the district economy is dominated by food grains. Table 8 shows the trend in the area under principal crops during the last eight years. Wheat, ragi, and Saava together comprise the largest share in the gross cropped area (GCA). The area under these crops marginally declined from 65.13 percent in 2012-13 to 57 percent in 2018-19. Ragi is high nutritious cereal and is in high demand by the consumers. All cereals (rice, wheat, barley, maize, ragi and saava) have a little over 83 percent of the GCA of the district. Pulses consisted of about 14.80 percent share in the total cropped area. Overall, food grains shared over 92.7 percent of GCA in 2018-19. The share of oilseeds is only about two percent. In addition to food grains and oilseeds, Potato is another important crop.

| Crop/Year                | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Rice                     | 13.40   | 14.62   | 13.73   | 14.14   | 14.64   | 13.53   | 15.23   |
| Wheat                    | 29.92   | 28.81   | 28.88   | 28.99   | 28.00   | 26.87   | 24.75   |
| Barley                   | 2.09    | 2.43    | 2.49    | 1.97    | 1.92    | 2.69    | 2.46    |
| Maize                    | 2.54    | 2.30    | 2.49    | 2.18    | 2.18    | 2.74    | 2.23    |
| Ragi                     | 15.07   | 15.62   | 14.30   | 13.43   | 14.35   | 14.39   | 13.79   |
| Saava                    | 20.14   | 18.33   | 18.67   | 18.85   | 18.36   | 18.95   | 18.46   |
| Total Cereal             | 83.16   | 82.10   | 80.56   | 79.57   | 80.13   | 79.91   | 77.92   |
| Urad                     | 2.50    | 2.34    | 0.25    | 3.07    | 3.10    | 3.62    | 3.90    |
| Masoor                   | 2.24    | 1.45    | 1.19    | 1.06    | 1.18    | 0.77    | 1.08    |
| Arhar                    | 1.44    | 1.33    | 1.97    | 1.89    | 1.54    | 2.01    | 2.25    |
| Kulthi Bean (Gehat)      | -       | 1.23    | -       | 3.77    | 3.68    | 4.71    | 5.30    |
| Bhatt                    | -       | 0.24    | -       | 0.18    | 0.18    | 0.10    | 0.20    |
| Kidney Bean              | -       | -       | -       | 1.31    | 1.29    | 1.45    | 1.46    |
| Total Pulses             | 6.41    | 5.36    | 6.36    | 6.15    | 11.23   | 13.06   | 14.80   |
| Total Food Grains        | 89.57   | 87.46   | 86.92   | 85.72   | 91.37   | 92.97   | 92.72   |
| Mustard                  | 1.24    | 1.09    | 1.28    | 1.00    | 1.14    | 1.02    | 1.03    |
| Net Til                  | 0.54    | 0.56    | 0.45    | 0.51    | 0.52    | 0.61    | 0.66    |
| Soybean                  | 0.73    | 0.74    | 0.69    | 1.07    | 0.88    | 0.77    | 0.83    |
| Total Oilseeds           | 2.52    | 2.39    | 2.42    | 2.58    | 2.60    | 2.46    | 2.53    |
| Potato                   | 0.45    | 1.61    | 1.45    | 1.33    | 1.03    | 1.26    | 1.67    |
| Net Sown Area (% of GSA) | 65.62   | 69.88   | 66.41   | 66.41   | 66.35   | 67.05   | 69.12   |
| Gross Sown Area (ha)     | 82914   | 77673   | 82222   | 82222   | 81095   | 76993   | 73287   |
| Cropping Intensity       | 152.39  | 143.09  | 150.58  | 150.58  | 150.71  | 149.14  | 144.68  |

#### Table 8: Trends in Cropping Pattern (as % GSA) and Cropping Intensity

Source: Estimated from District Statistical Handbooks

#### ii. Trends in Per Hectare Yield of Principal Crops

Crop yields in the district are quite low. The per hectare yield of rice was as low as 14.4 qtls/ha in 2012-13 and as high as 19.67 qtls/ha in 2017-18. Similarly yield of wheat ranges between 14.89 to 21.11 qtls/ha during 2012-13 to 2018-19. A more or less similar pattern is also observed in barley. Maize yield shows significant variation across years (Table 9). It is significant to note that the average productivity of ragi and saava has been at par with rice and wheat. Relatively being high-value crops, these crops have the potential to raise the farmers' income if proper marketing support is provided to the farmers. Marketing support and R&D intensification can make these crops more remunerative for farmers.

Among pulses, per hectare yield is higher in arhar and urad than other crops. Among oilseeds, soybean is an important crop. Its yield ranges between 6.8 to 9.83 qtls/ha. Since per quintal soybean prices are higher than that of rice and wheat, its cultivation can provide a better return to the farmers. Potato is another high-value crop in the district. Its yield ranges between 75.47 to 191.87 qtsl/ha. Thus, ragi, saava, urad, arhar, masoor, soybean and Potato are promising crops for farmers of the district.

| Table 9: Per hectare   | Table 9: Per hectare yield of Principal Crops in Tehri Garhwal District (in Quintal) |             |           |         |         |         |         |  |  |  |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| Crop/ Year             | 2012-<br>13                                                                          | 2013-14     | 2014-15   | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |  |  |  |
| Rice                   | 14.4                                                                                 | 15.8        | 16.814    | 17.1    | 15.71   | 19.67   | 17.8    |  |  |  |
| Wheat                  | 14.89                                                                                | 14.51       | 10.95     | 12.23   | 14.19   | 15.83   | 21.11   |  |  |  |
| Barley                 | 13.57                                                                                | 13.4        | 12.24     | 10.81   | 14.96   | 12.71   | 17.04   |  |  |  |
| Maize                  | 11.56                                                                                | 11.56       | 25.24     | 16.77   | 16.88   | 22.53   | 16.78   |  |  |  |
| Ragi                   | 14.93                                                                                | 14.57       | 15.06     | 15.88   | 17.27   | 15.83   | 13.93   |  |  |  |
| Saava                  | 14.57                                                                                | 15.42       | 15.507    | 14.62   | 15.31   | 13.32   | 15.74   |  |  |  |
| Amaranth               | -                                                                                    | -           | -         | 9.63    | 10.46   | 10.49   | 10.49   |  |  |  |
| Total Cereals          | 14.53                                                                                | 14.71       | 14.144    | 14.33   | 15.34   | 15.96   | 17.52   |  |  |  |
| Urad                   | 6.43                                                                                 | 8.66        | 8.83      | 7.07    | 7.24    | 5.76    | 7.7     |  |  |  |
| Masoor                 | 7.45                                                                                 | 8.85        | 8.04      | 7.46    | 6.04    | 6.08    | 5.39    |  |  |  |
| Arhar                  | 7.63                                                                                 | 7.63        | 10.7      | 10.5    | 10.78   | 11.33   | 8.78    |  |  |  |
| Kulthi bean (Gehat)    | -                                                                                    | 8.79        | -         | 8.33    | 9.38    | 6.48    | 8.7     |  |  |  |
| Bhatt                  | -                                                                                    | 7.26        | -         | 7.15    | 6.62    | 6.89    | 6.88    |  |  |  |
| Kidney Beans           | -                                                                                    | 9.36        | -         | 8.83    | 8.48    | 6.5     | 9.5     |  |  |  |
| Total Pulses           | 7.76                                                                                 | 8.2         | 8.657     | 8.25    | 8.42    | 7.01    | 8.22    |  |  |  |
| Total Food Grains      | 13.68                                                                                | 13.71       | 13.429    | 13.56   | 14.49   | 14.7    | 16.04   |  |  |  |
| Mustard                | 5.52                                                                                 | 5.5         | 3         | 6.73    | 9.73    | 5.4     | 7.17    |  |  |  |
| Till                   | 2.43                                                                                 | 2.43        | 2.47      | 2.58    | 3       | 3.14    | 3.18    |  |  |  |
| Soybean                | 8.96                                                                                 | 8.95        | 9.93      | 9.5     | 5.21    | 6.8     | 8.98    |  |  |  |
| Total Oil Seeds        | 5.73                                                                                 | 6.09        | 4.88      | 7.07    | 6.7     | 5.24    | 6.73    |  |  |  |
| Potato                 | 90.67                                                                                | 92.24       | 91.3      | 75.47   | 100.43  | 191.87  | 113.57  |  |  |  |
| Source: Estimated from | n District                                                                           | Statistical | Handbooks | 1       |         |         | 1       |  |  |  |

#### iii. Trends in Production of Principal Crops

Table 10 depicts that among the individual cereal crops, wheat consisted of the highest production (38298 tons), followed by saava (21291 tons), rice (19864 tons) and ragi (14071 tons). Saava and ragi together had a 33.34 percent share in the total cereal production in 2018-19. **These high-value and high-nutrition crops can be promoted under organic farming to enhance farmers' income.** Among pulses, Kulthi bean (Gehat) had the highest share (37.8%) in the total pulses

production. It is followed by urad (24.7%). In the case of oilseed production, soybean and mustard are the main crops, which comprised about 87% of the total oilseeds production of the district. Production of Potato was highest (18669 metric tons) in 2017-18 and lowest (3273 metric tons) in 2012-13.

| Crop/ Year             | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
|------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Rice                   | 17448   | 18563   | 18985   | 19881   | 18655   | 20486   | 19864   |
| Wheat                  | 17448   | 18563   | 18985   | 29139   | 32230   | 32749   | 38298   |
| Barley                 | 2614    | 2762    | 2509    | 1755    | 2332    | 2634    | 3071    |
| Maize                  | 2447    | 2374    | 5172    | 3007    | 2986    | 4747    | 2745    |
| Ragi                   | 19073   | 17105   | 17704   | 17541   | 20102   | 17545   | 14071   |
| Saava                  | 26187   | 22950   | 23798   | 22659   | 22797   | 19432   | 21291   |
| Total Cereal           | 110485  | 100857  | 94482   | 94465   | 99679   | 98175   | 100061  |
| Urad                   | 1962    | 2566    | 2125    | 1784    | 1822    | 1607    | 2202    |
| Masoor                 | 864     | 1018    | 789     | 648     | 579     | 358     | 425     |
| Chana                  | 5       | 95      | 3       | 4       | 9       | 3       | 9       |
| Matar                  | 151     | 47      | 113     | 56      | 66      | 96      | 45      |
| Arhar                  | 1151    | 1326    | 1733    | 1636    | 1350    | 1749    | 1446    |
| Kulthi bean<br>(Gehat) | -       | -       | -       | 2585    | 2803    | 2351    | 3378    |
| Bhatt                  | -       | -       | -       | 105     | 96      | 51      | 100     |
| Kidney Beans           | -       | -       | -       | 954     | 884     | 724     | 1017    |
| Total Pulses           | 8417    | 10164   | 8655    | 7772    | 7668    | 7053    | 8916    |
| Total Food Grains      | 118902  | 111021  | 103137  | 102237  | 107347  | 105228  | 108977  |
| Mustard                | 622     | 756     | 315     | 553     | 896     | 426     | 542     |
| Till                   | 140     | 122     | 92      | 108     | 141     | 160     | 154     |
| Soybean                | 592     | 826     | 565     | 838     | 374     | 405     | 548     |
| Total Oil Seeds        | 1354    | 1704    | 974     | 1499    | 1412    | 991     | 1247    |
| Potato                 | 3273    | 11613   | 10874   | 8272    | 8406    | 18669   | 13924   |

 Table 10: Trends in Production of Principal Crops (in Metric Tons)

Source: Compiled from District Statistical Handbooks

We have calculated crop-wise average, standard deviation (SD) and coefficient of variation (COV) in the area, production and yield of principal crops to understand variability across years. In the case of area under different crops, the lowest variability is observed in rice (4.08%), closely followed by total cereal (5.92%) saava (6.79%), and ragi (6.94). It is observed highest Kulthi bean (58.57%) Bhatt (54.09%) and kidney bean (53.89%). Overall, area under pulses has more variability than the area under cereals and oilseeds (Table 11).

Table 11: Variability in Area, Production and Yield of Principal Crops (2012-13 to 2018-19)

| /         |      |            |       |
|-----------|------|------------|-------|
| Crop/Year | Area | Production | Yield |

|                     | Average | SD   | COV   | Average | SD   | COV   | Average | SD    | COV   |
|---------------------|---------|------|-------|---------|------|-------|---------|-------|-------|
| Rice                | 11261   | 460  | 4.08  | 19003   | 1068 | 5.62  | 16.76   | 1.57  | 9.37  |
| Wheat               | 22328   | 2266 | 10.15 | 24852   | 7266 | 29.24 | 14.82   | 2.99  | 20.22 |
| Barley              | 1818    | 198  | 10.89 | 2434    | 363  | 14.89 | 13.53   | 1.86  | 13.72 |
| Maize               | 1893    | 191  | 10.08 | 3250    | 1312 | 40.37 | 17.33   | 4.74  | 27.33 |
| Ragi                | 11464   | 796  | 6.94  | 18178   | 1156 | 6.36  | 15.35   | 1.01  | 6.55  |
| Saava               | 14969   | 1017 | 6.79  | 22971   | 2176 | 9.47  | 14.93   | 0.77  | 5.18  |
| Total Cereal        | 64000   | 3792 | 5.92  | 99691   | 5910 | 5.93  | 15.22   | 1.10  | 7.26  |
| Urad                | 2112    | 919  | 43.51 | 1978    | 337  | 17.03 | 7.38    | 1.03  | 14.00 |
| Masoor              | 1023    | 403  | 39.38 | 709     | 232  | 32.74 | 7.04    | 1.15  | 16.33 |
| Arhar               | 1407    | 242  | 17.22 | 1491    | 249  | 16.67 | 9.62    | 1.46  | 15.13 |
| Kulthi Bean (Gehat) | 2911    | 1705 | 58.57 | 2580    | 1420 | 55.05 | 8.34    | 3.95  | 47.36 |
| Bhatt               | 139     | 75   | 54.09 | 84      | 50   | 58.94 | 6.96    | 3.23  | 46.37 |
| Kidney Bean         | 1077    | 576  | 53.49 | 854     | 474  | 55.46 | 8.53    | 4.05  | 47.51 |
| Total Pulses        | 7111    | 2776 | 39.04 | 8288    | 1081 | 13.05 | 8.07    | 0.50  | 6.21  |
| Total Food Grains   | 71111   | 2572 | 3.62  | 107979  | 6212 | 5.75  | 14.23   | 0.86  | 6.07  |
| Mustard             | 888     | 117  | 13.16 | 595     | 213  | 35.75 | 6.15    | 1.91  | 31.03 |
| Net Til             | 435     | 37   | 8.48  | 127     | 25   | 19.47 | 2.75    | 0.32  | 11.61 |
| Soybean             | 650     | 114  | 17.45 | 600     | 199  | 33.18 | 8.33    | 1.57  | 18.82 |
| Total Oilseeds      | 1987    | 121  | 6.07  | 1322    | 289  | 21.84 | 6.06    | 0.76  | 12.55 |
| Potato              | 992     | 312  | 31.41 | 10185   | 5081 | 49.89 | 107.94  | 35.87 | 33.24 |

Source: District Statistical Handbooks

Overall, variability in the production of different crops is higher than in the area under these crops. Bhatt has the highest COV in production (58.94 %), followed by kidney beans (55.5%) and Kulthi bean (55.05%). Variability in production depends on the variability in the area under the crop and variability in the yield. In some crops, variability in yield is higher than that in the area (for example, Potato, mustard, wheat and maize), while in some crops, it is lower than that in the area (for example, saava, urad). Several factors, such as market prices and rainfall patterns, affect the variability in agricultural production. **High variability in the production of various crops implies that returns to farmers from agriculture is volatile and cause farmers' income vulnerability.** 

#### **2.1.4 Consumption of Chemical Fertilizers**

It is significant to note that per hectare, the use of chemical fertilizers is almost negligible. Table 12 shows that the use of nitrogen ranges between 0.79 kgs/ha to 1.44 kgs/ha of GCA. Phosphorous use ranges between 0.30 kgs/ha to 0.58 kg/ha of GCA. The use of potassium is almost zero. Total fertilizers use ranges from 0.81 kg/ha to 1.75 kg/ha of GCA (Table 12). It can be inferred from the fertilizers consumption data that the district's agriculture is almost chemical-free, and farmers have a natural advantage to do organic farming.

| Fertilizer/Year | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Nitrogen        | 1.25    | 1.15    | 1.44    | 1.12    | 0.91    | 0.79    |
| Phosphorous     | 0.38    | 0.56    | 0.58    | 0.50    | 0.30    | 0.41    |
| Potassium       | 0.02    | 0.05    | 0.02    | 0.01    | 0.03    | 0.00    |
| Total           | 1.52    | 1.75    | 0.81    | 1.63    | 1.24    | 1.20    |

Table 12: Trends in Use of Chemical Fertilizers in Agriculture (Kgs/per ha GSA)

Source: Compiled from District Statistical Handbooks

## 2.1.5 Irrigation Structure and Status

Being the hilly region, the possibility of groundwater extraction for irrigation is almost nil. Only surface irrigation structure exists in the district. Table 13 shows that there is not much progress in adding the length of canals during the last five years. The length ranges between 717 to 823.3 kilometers. The number of water tanks has increased from 9190 in 2013-14 to 9672 in 2019-20, a net increase of 482 tanks. Length of *Gool* has increased from 4360 Kms in 2013-14 to 5085.4 Kms in 2019-20, a net gain of 455 kms during the period. The number of high drums declined from 96 in 2013-14 to 25 in 2019-20.

Agriculture in the district is mostly under rain-fed conditions. The net cultivated area under irrigation ranges between 13.11 to 14.70 percent. There is not much progress in bringing more area under irrigation. Gross irrigated areas as a percentage of GCA is also quite low (16.59-18.95%).

| Name/Year                       | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18      | 2018-19  | 2019-20  |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------------|----------|----------|
| Length of Canal<br>(KM)         | 717     | 760.959 | 781.859 | 781.383 | 784.413      | 784.683  | 823.273  |
| No. Of Ground<br>level pump set | 5       | 5       | 5       | 5       | 5            | 5        | 5        |
| No. Of borewell pump set        | 2       | 2       | 2       | 2       | 2            | 2        | 2        |
| No. Of tanks                    | 9190    | 9370    | 9437    | 9479    | 9523         | 9588     | 9672     |
| Gool in KM                      | 4630    | 4842    | 4913    | 4962    | 4993.78<br>8 | 5029.402 | 5085.397 |
| No. Of high<br>drums            | 96      | 96      | 32      | 32      | 32           | 25       | 25       |
| % Of NIA                        | 13.52   | 13.11   | 14.17   | 14.70   | 13.49        | 14.70    | -        |
| % Of GIA                        | 17.80   | 16.59   | 17.32   | 18.29   | 17.04        | 18.95    | -        |

Table 13: Types of Irrigation Systems year-wise data in Tehri Garhwal District

## 2.1.6 Out-Migration and Agriculture

Due to lop-sided development in the state during the post-statehood period, the intensity of outmigration has increased (Mamgain & Reddy, 2015). Moreover, out-migration in the district has been gradually changing from temporary to permanent, having far-reaching consequences for the rural economy. The exodus makes it difficult for the remaining people to do farming as cultivated

land intersperses with inactive uncultivated land. There is a vicious cycle between out-migration and agriculture. As agriculture is not remunerative and able to generate gainful employment, the youths out-migrate in search of better livelihood options, which leads to the abandonment of agriculture to a greater extent.

Tourism is a key growth driver of the district economy. It can be integrated with the promotion of high-value crops (HVCs) such as vegetables, fruits, mushrooms, flowers, and medicinal crops. If HVCs are promoted, hotels and dhabas, largely driven by tourists, may get fresh vegetables, fruits, and other food items from the local farmers. The floating population in the district is quite high. This population needs different kinds of agro-products and handicrafts which the local market can supply. Diversifying agriculture and allied activities toward low volume and high-value agro-products would check the out-migration and work as a multiplier in the local economy, generating additional employment avenues in the non-farm activities. However, there are three deterrents—out-migration, the menace of wild animals, and interspersing of cultivated land with inactive and abandoned landholding. The possibility of evolving an economically viable model of convergence of MGNREGA activities with the concerned line departments needs to be explored to promote HVCs and improve livelihood. Uttarakhand Human Development Report (2018) shows that about three-fourths of the total first-time migrant in Tehri Garhwal migrated alone and about 25.4 percent with family members (Table 14).

|                  | Migrated alone | Migrated<br>with family<br>members | Migrated<br>with<br>members of<br>community/<br>Village | With<br>quittances/Friends<br>(other than col 4) | Middle men/<br>contractors | Any<br>other | Total |
|------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|-------|
| 1                | 2              | 3                                  | 4                                                       | 5                                                | 6                          | 7            | 8     |
| Tehri<br>Garhwal | 62.1           | 25.4                               | 7.6                                                     | 4.9                                              | 0.0                        | 0.0          | 100   |
| UK               | 72.1           | 18.0                               | 6.6                                                     | 2.6                                              | 0.1                        | 0.6          | 100   |

Table 14: Process of Migration (First Migration) in Tehri Garhwal (in %), 2017

Source: Uttarakhand Human Development Report

#### 2.1.7 Status of Organic Farming

To promote sustainable agricultural practices and improve the farmers' livelihood, the Government of India launched PKVY and Namami Gange schemes. Under these schemes, farmers are incentivized to form groups to do organic farming and sell their products with PGS certification. The state set up the Uttarakhand Organic Commodity Board (UOCB) in 2003 as a nodal agency to facilitate organic clusters and provide technical support to promote organic farming. The area under organic farming in the state was about 18% of the NSA in 2019 (https://www.downtoearth.org.in/blog/agriculture/how-indian-states-are-promoting-organicnatural-farming-73306). Uttarakhand is the first state of India which enacted Organic Farming Act in 2020 and declared 10 of its blocks (Dunda, Pratapnagar, Jaihari Khal, Jakholi, Augustmuni,

Ukhimath, Dewal, Salt, Betalghat and Munsyari) fully organic. The hill districts of the state, including Tehri Garhwal, have a natural advantage in organic farming as per hectare use of chemical fertilizers is quite low. Pratapnagar block of the district also belongs to the category of a fully organic block. The use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides is banned in this block.

Table 15 shows the status of organic farming PGS groups constituted under PKVY and Namami Gange schemes. As on June 30, 2021, there are a total of 860 organic farming groups with 44845 registered farmers in the district. Out of these groups, about 79% constituted under the PKVY and 21% under the Namami Gange. The median number of farmers per group is 50 under PKVY and 51.5 under the Namami Gange. The high value of SD in the case of organic farmers of Namami Gange groups indicates that the number of farmers per group has higher variability in the Namami Gange scheme than PKVY. Block-wise data show that under PKVY, the highest number of groups and number of farmers are in Narendranagar (130 and 6235), followed by Deoprayag (93 and 4710) and Jaunpur (86 and 4229) and lowest in Jakhnidhar (44 and 2397).

| S No   | Plack                             | Sahama       | No. of groups | N     | o. of farme | rs in group | S     |
|--------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|-------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| 5.110. | DIOCK                             | Scheme       | No. of groups | Total | Average     | Median      | SD    |
| 1      | Dhilenene                         | PKVY         | 66            | 3328  | 50.42       | 50          | 3.73  |
| 1      | Bhilangna                         | Namami Gange | 18            | 1505  | 83.61       | 74.5        | 49.46 |
| 2      | Chamba                            | PKVY         | 85            | 4224  | 49.69       | 50          | 4.98  |
| 2      | Chaniba                           | Namami Gange | 27            | 1665  | 61.66       | 56          | 31.96 |
| 2      | Deserves                          | PKVY         | 93            | 4710  | 50.64       | 50          | 5.09  |
| 3      | Deoprayag                         | Namami Gange | 24            | 1273  | 53.04       | 51          | 20.68 |
| 4      | I a labara dha a                  | PKVY         | 44            | 2397  | 54.47       | 50          | 17.93 |
| 4      | Jaknnionar                        | Namami Gange | 11            | 1089  | 99          | 87          | 43.99 |
| 5      | T                                 | PKVY         | 86            | 4229  | 49.17       | 50          | 4.25  |
| 3      | Jaunpur                           | Namami Gange | 0             | 0     | 0           | 0           | 0     |
| 6      | Winting and                       | PKVY         | 67            | 3292  | 49.13       | 50          | 4.09  |
| 0      | Kirtinagar                        | Namami Gange | 51            | 2872  | 56.31       | 49          | 26.61 |
| 7      | Norondor Noron                    | PKVY         | 130           | 6325  | 48.65       | 50          | 6.01  |
| /      | Inarender magar                   | Namami Gange | 37            | 1935  | 52.29       | 50          | 21.2  |
| o      | Ductomagon                        | PKVY         | 59            | 2889  | 48.96       | 50          | 6.63  |
| 0      | Fratapilagai                      | Namami Gange | 0             | 0     | 0           | 0           | 0     |
| 0      | Thouldhor                         | PKVY         | 50            | 2468  | 49.36       | 50          | 2.01  |
| 9      | Thaulunar                         | Namami Gange | 12            | 644   | 53.66       | 49.5        | 22.34 |
|        |                                   | PKVY         | 680           | 33862 | 49.79       | 50          | 6.71  |
| 10     | Total district<br>(Tehri Garhwal) | Namami Gange | 180           | 10983 | 61.01       | 51.5        | 32.2  |
|        | (Tomi Gunwal)                     | Total        | 860           | 44845 | 52.14       | 50          | 16.51 |

Table 15: Status of Organic Farming PGS Groups under PKVY and Namami Gange Schemes in Tehri Garhwal (as on June 30, 2021)

Source: Compiled from <a href="https://pgsindia-ncof.gov.in/">https://pgsindia-ncof.gov.in/</a>

Pratapnagar and Jaunpur do not have any group under the Namami Gange scheme. The highest number of groups under the scheme is in Kirtinagar (51), followed by Narendranagar (37). Since economies of scale in both production and marketing matter in organic farming, possibilities of some institutional framework in the forms of SHGs/ farm cooperative/PFOs/contract farming, etc., may be designed. Organic farming could be an economically viable option in the district if the government builds strong marketing networks linking farmers, processors, and distributors with the easy certification process and minimizing farmers' risk by protecting their farm income through payments of ecosystem services. The organic farming Act is a good initiative, and its provisions should be effectively enforced. A long-term system of incentive as well as regulation may be evolved to retain the existing farmers and motivate others to move towards the sustainable farming system in the district.

#### 2.2 Trends in Livestock

Livestock has an important place in the rural economy. Table16 shows that the total number of cattle (cows and bulls) has decreased from 122738 in 2003 to 86362 in 2019. During this period, there has been a decline in the number of male and female cattle, but the number of male cattle has drastically declined, probably due to a lack of demand for animal power. A similar trend is observed in the case of Buffalo. Comparing 2003 and 2019 data, we observe that number of buffaloes has also declined substantially, particularly the male ones. The number of sheep shows ups and downs during the entire period. It was highest in 2012 (43323) and then declined to 21145 in 2019. However, the number of goats has increased during the period from 101637 to 126944. Between 2003 and 2012, the number of poultry has approximately doubled.

| 14010 101 1101140 1 | in Eiste este en hohannen (in |        | <i>aanne</i> = • • | 0 =017 |       |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------|--------------------|--------|-------|
| Livestock           | Category                      | 2003   | 2007               | 2012   | 2019  |
| Indigenous Cattle   | Total Male                    | 72445  | 79092              | 52394  | 40818 |
|                     | Total Female                  | 48025  | 41804              | 32530  | 40015 |
|                     | Total                         | 120470 | 120896             | 84924  | 80833 |
| Exotic Cattle       | Total Male                    | 1298   | 520                | 6013   | 1267  |
|                     | Total Female                  | 970    | 1310               | 9249   | 4262  |
|                     | Total                         | 2268   | 1830               | 15262  | 5529  |
| Total Cattle        |                               | 122738 | 122726             | 100186 | 86362 |
| Duffele             | Total Male                    | 16741  | 17021              | 15140  | 2599  |
| Bullaio             | Total Female                  | 97298  | 89555              | 76341  | 76795 |
|                     | Total                         | 114039 | 106576             | 91481  | 79394 |
| Shoop               | Total Indigenous Sheep        | 14749  | 21456              | 20064  | 12969 |
| Sneep               | Total Exotic Sheep            | 7177   | 2699               | 23259  | 8176  |

 Table 16: Trends in Livestock population (in numbers)
 during 2003-2019

|                 | Total Sheep          | 14878  | 24155  | 43323  | 21145  |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Goat            | Total Male           | -      | -      | -      | 34910  |
| Goat            | Total Female         | -      | -      | -      | 92034  |
|                 | Total                | 101637 | 134245 | 125899 | 126944 |
| Pig             | Total Indigenous Pig | 1232   | 934    | 412    | 420    |
|                 | Total Exotic Pig     | 923    | 12     | 197    | 203    |
|                 | Total Pig            | 2155   | 946    | 609    | 623    |
| Total Livestock |                      | 372875 | 407904 | 377878 | -      |
| Total Poultry   |                      | 28611  | 46130  | 58941  | -      |

Source: Compiled from District Statistical Handbook

Table 17 shows that the number of cattle hospitals and cattle development centres increased over the period. The number of man-made reproduction centres also increased from 29 to 33. There is no change in the number of sheep development centres and poultry units.

| Category                     | 2013-<br>14 | 2014-<br>15 | 2015-<br>16 | 2016-<br>17 | 2017-<br>18 | 2018-<br>19 | 2019-<br>20 |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Cattle Hospital              | 32          | 33          | 37          | 38          | 38          | 38          | 38          |
| Cattle Development Centre    | 80          | 82          | 91          | 92          | 92          | 92          | 92          |
| Man-Made Reproduction Centre | 29          | 30          | 36          | 44          | 33          | 33          | 33          |
| Man-Made sub-Centre          | -           | -           | 0           | 0           | 18          | 18          | 18          |
| Cattle Reproduction Farm     | 0           | 0           | 1           | 1           | 1           | 1           | 1           |
| Sheep Development Centre     | 4           | 4           | 4           | 4           | 4           | 4           | 4           |
| Poultry Units                | 1           | 1           | 1           | 1           | 1           | 1           | 1           |

Table 17: Year-wise number of Cattle Hospitals and Development Centers

Source: Compiled from District Statistical Handbook

#### **2.3 Trends in Horticulture Crops**

The district has a high potential for horticulture development. With policy support and a well-designed action plan, this sector can be the growth driver for the agriculture sector. Table 18 shows that in 2019-20, walnut constituted the highest share (23.12%), followed by apple (18.48%), and mango (17.30%). The total area under fruits shows variation across years.

Table 18: Trends in percentage share of principal fruit crops in total area under fruits

| Crop/Year | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16        | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 |
|-----------|---------|---------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Apple     | 18.32   | 18.35   | 27.66 20.03    |         | 19.91   | 19.87   | 18.48   |
| Pear      | 8.57    | 8.79    | 13.14          | 4.86    | 4.78    | 4.80    | 8.75    |
| Peach     | 1.16    | 1.02    | 1.66 6.01 5.87 |         | 5.87    | 5.87    | 1.09    |
| Plum      | 5.74    | 5.74    | 8.65           | 5.83    | 5.71    | 5.87    | 12.88   |

| Apricot      | 7.41           | 7.25           | 10.85          | 3.79          | 3.79 3.80     |               | 7.23           |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| Walnut       | 23.48          | 23.44          | 34.99          | 9.67          | 9.85          | 9.89          | 23.12          |
| Lemon        | 7.87           | 7.62           | 11.57          | 23.85         | 23.75         | 23.63         | 7.73           |
| Mango        | 17.34          | 17.14          | 25.98          | 25.98 14.35   |               | 14.42         | 17.30          |
| Litchi       | 0.20           | 0.18           | 0.29           | 0.43          | 0.44          | 0.51          | 0.21           |
| Other Fruits | 9.91           | 10.46          | 15.01          | 11.19         | 10.98         | 11.04         | 10.33          |
| Total (ha)   | 21001<br>(100) | 20318<br>(100) | 13812<br>(100) | 4143<br>(100) | 4287<br>(100) | 4338<br>(100) | 20867<br>(100) |

Source: Estimated from District Statistical Handbook

Production of apples ranges from 736 tons in 2016-17 to 1984.3 tons in 2019-20. Its production has steeply declined in recent years but improved drastically in 2019-20. The same pattern has been observed in all other horticulture crops. The total output of fruits ranges from approximately 6914 tons in 2017-18 to 28268 tons in 2019-20 (Table 19).

| Crop/Year    | 2013-14  | 013-14 2014-15 |                               | 2016-17                       | 2017-18  | 2018-19  | 2019-20  |
|--------------|----------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Apple        | 1923.5   | 1815.78        | 1910.18                       | 736.02                        | 756.557  | 772.23   | 1984.3   |
| Pear         | 4860     | 4672.9         | 4901.13                       | 4901.13 142.23                |          | 233.81   | 4936.63  |
| Peach        | 951.6    | 810.04         | 894.17                        | 894.17 198.7 269.813 275      |          | 275.2    | 926.65   |
| Plum         | 2653.2   | 2565.52        | 2627.26                       | 2627.26 130.54 240.498 254.54 |          | 254.54   | 2687.9   |
| Apricot      | 1244.8   | 1208.74        | 208.74 1198.97 164            |                               | 197.096  | 203.55   | 1214.35  |
| Walnut       | 1183.6   | 1151           | 1156 611.07 695               |                               | 695.745  | 710.98   | 1179.91  |
| Lemon        | 2973.6   | 2585.81        | 2876.8                        | 1618.26                       | 1778.787 | 1795.64  | 2910.5   |
| Mango        | 10376.85 | 9464.88        | 10227.92 1590.71 1816.534 183 |                               | 1838.1   | 10265.04 |          |
| Litchi       | 10.27    | 7.38           | 10.02                         | 14.52                         | 19.337   | 21.86    | 12.37    |
| Other Fruits | 2127     | 2527.42        | 2091                          | 729.73                        | 864.536  | 889.13   | 2150.77  |
| Total        | 28304.42 | 26809          | 17733.52                      | 5936.08                       | 6914.27  | 7015.1   | 28268.42 |

Table 19: Year-wise production of fruit crops in Tehri Garhwal District (in tons)

Source: Compiled from District Statistical Handbook

Table 20 shows that the per hectare yield of almost all crops has declined during 2013-14 to 2019-20. The productivity of Plum decreased from 2.2 tons/ha in 2013-14 to 1 ton/ha in 2019-20. The yield of peach evinces ups and downs during the period. Per hectare yields of all fruits range from 1.0-1.86 tons/ha. Overall, the productivity of total fruits has remained less than 1.75 tons/ha. The above analysis indicates that there is not much change in the district's overall yield of fruits. The reasons for this stagnant condition of horticulture in the district need to be explored, and measures should be taken to improve it.

| 5         | 1       | 1       |         |         | •       | /       |         |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Crop/Year | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 |
| Apple     | 0.50    | 0.49    | 0.50    | 0.89    | 0.89    | 0.90    | 0.51    |

| Pear         | 2.70 | 2.62 | 2.70 | 0.71 | 1.10 | 1.12 | 2.71 |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Peach        | 3.90 | 3.90 | 3.90 | 0.80 | 1.07 | 1.08 | 4.07 |
| Plum         | 2.20 | 2.20 | 2.20 | 0.54 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Apricot      | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.80 | 1.05 | 1.21 | 1.23 | 0.81 |
| Walnut       | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 1.53 | 1.65 | 1.66 | 0.24 |
| Lemon        | 1.80 | 1.67 | 1.80 | 1.64 | 1.75 | 1.75 | 1.80 |
| Mango        | 2.85 | 2.72 | 2.85 | 2.67 | 2.93 | 2.94 | 2.84 |
| Litchi       | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.81 | 1.01 | 0.98 | 0.28 |
| Other Fruits | 1.02 | 1.19 | 1.01 | 1.57 | 1.84 | 1.86 | 1.00 |
| Total Fruits | 1.35 | 1.32 | 1.28 | 1.43 | 1.61 | 1.62 | 1.35 |

Source: Compiled from District Statistical Handbook

Area, production, and yield of almost all fruits evince a high magnitude of variability as indicated by COV values. In the area, the highest COV is in Plum, closely followed by walnut, apricot, and pear. In production, COV is highest in pear, followed by Plum, apricot, and mango. In yield, the highest variability is observed in walnut, followed by litchi and peach. The high variability in production is due to both variabilities in area and yields.

Table 21: Variability in Area, Production and Yield of Principal of Fruit Crops (2013-14 to 2019-20)

| Crop/Year       | Area (ha) |         |       | Production | n (tones) |       | Yield (tor | ns/ha) |       |
|-----------------|-----------|---------|-------|------------|-----------|-------|------------|--------|-------|
| 2019-20         | Average   | SD      | COV   | Average    | SD        | COV   | Average    | SD     | COV   |
| Apple           | 2542.65   | 1585.30 | 62.35 | 1414.08    | 618.63    | 43.75 | 0.67       | 0.21   | 31.22 |
| Pear            | 1120.24   | 856.37  | 76.44 | 2853.10    | 2482.98   | 87.03 | 1.95       | 0.92   | 47.26 |
| Peach           | 237.77    | 16.95   | 7.13  | 618.02     | 349.82    | 56.60 | 2.67       | 1.59   | 59.28 |
| Plum            | 999.27    | 881.14  | 88.18 | 1594.21    | 1297.29   | 81.38 | 1.45       | 0.72   | 50.00 |
| Apricot         | 931.67    | 720.61  | 77.35 | 775.97     | 550.01    | 70.88 | 0.96       | 0.20   | 20.78 |
| Walnut          | 2943.44   | 2363.58 | 80.30 | 955.47     | 266.68    | 27.91 | 0.83       | 0.73   | 88.52 |
| Lemon           | 1349.24   | 318.49  | 23.60 | 2362.77    | 606.09    | 25.65 | 1.74       | 0.07   | 3.83  |
| Mango           | 2308.78   | 1586.73 | 68.73 | 6511.43    | 4465.83   | 68.58 | 2.83       | 0.10   | 3.52  |
| Litchi          | 31.56     | 11.41   | 36.15 | 13.68      | 5.26      | 38.46 | 0.54       | 0.37   | 69.17 |
| Other Fruits    | 1406.90   | 875.84  | 62.25 | 1625.66    | 761.72    | 46.86 | 1.36       | 0.39   | 28.86 |
| Total of Fruits | 12680.95  | 8254.14 | 65.09 | 17282.97   | 10604.97  | 61.36 | 1.42       | 0.14   | 9.69  |

Source: Estimated from District Statistical Handbook

#### 2.4 Trends in Area, Production and Yield of Vegetable Crops

Table 22 shows the trends in area, production and per hectare yield of total vegetable crops. The area under vegetables shows ups and downs. It was lowest (2717 ha) in 2016-17 and highest in 2019-20 (8265 ha). However, the per hectare yield of vegetables shows less variation across years. It ranges from 7.76 tons/ha to 8.98 tons/ha. The area under Potato has decreased for three-year period from 2015-16 to 2018-19, but in 2019-20 it again reached the same level as in 2013-14. The yield of Potato ranges between 16.38 tons/ha to 19.49 tons/ha.

| Crop/Year  |   | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 |
|------------|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total      | Α | 8176    | 7992    | 8229    | 2717    | 2788    | 2853    | 8265    |
| Vegetables | Р | 73434   | 70643   | 73896   | 21226   | 21745   | 22611   | 64135   |
|            | Y | 8.98    | 8.84    | 8.98    | 7.81    | 7.80    | 7.93    | 7.76    |
| _          | Α | 2561    | 2511.5  | 2528.1  | 792.35  | 830.153 | 834.07  | 2534.57 |
| Potato     | Р | 49934   | 48833   | 49118   | 12976   | 13682   | 13763   | 49210   |
|            | Y | 19.49   | 19.45   | 19.42   | 16.38   | 16.48   | 16.50   | 19.42   |

Table 22: Area, Production and Yield of Vegetables (area in ha, and production and yield in tons)

Source: Compiled from District Statistical Handbook

Agriculture and allied sectors can improve rural livelihood through diversification into areas such as horticulture, aromatic and medicinal plants, animal husbandry, including dairy, fisheries, sericulture, bee-keeping, mushroom production, etc. Fruits, vegetables, potatoes, mushrooms, spices, medicinal plants, and flowers can provide remunerative returns to farmers as their demand is income-elastic.

#### **Highlights-3**

Livestock has an important place in the rural economy. Its contribution to agriculture has been gradually rising. However, livestock census data indicate that the total number of cows and buffaloes declined in 2019.

The district has a high potential for horticulture development. With policy support and a well-designed action plan, this sector can be the growth driver for the agriculture sector.

In 2019-20, the area under walnut crop constituted the highest share (23.12%), followed by Apple (18.48 %) and Mango (17.30%).

On average, the production and yield of most of the fruit crops have declined over the period.

Area, production, and yield of fruits show high variability across years. The variability in production is driven by both variability in the area as well as the variability in yield.

#### **2.3 Forestry**

Total geographic area of the district is 3642 Km<sup>2</sup>. As per 2019 Forest survey of India assessment, the district has 56.73% of forest area of total geographic area. The total forest cover in the district is 2065.98 Km<sup>2</sup>. From this total area 272.71 Km<sup>2</sup> comes under very dense forest (VDF) category, 1084.08 Km<sup>2</sup> is moderately dense forest (MDF), and 709.19 Km<sup>2</sup> area is open forest (OF) (FSI, 2019).



|                        | Forest Survey of India 2019 Uttarakhand vs Tehri Garhwal |         |           |         |          |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|----------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Comparative Assessment |                                                          |         |           |         |          |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area                   | Geogra                                                   | Very    | Moderatel | Open    | Total    | %of Geographical |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                        | phical                                                   | Dense   | y Dense   | Forest  |          | Area             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                        | Area                                                     | Forest  | Forest    |         |          |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tehri                  | 3642                                                     | 272.71  | 1084.08   | 709.19  | 2065.98  | 56.73%           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Garhwal                |                                                          |         |           |         |          |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uttarakhand            | 53483                                                    | 5046.76 | 12805.24  | 6451.04 | 24303.04 | 45.44%           |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### 2.3.1. Biodiversity:

The district's biodiversity data includes crop production, livestock population, bird species, and forest cover. Umbrella project biodiversity of Uttarakhand reports the biodiversity data of the Tehri Garhwal district. As per the data, 1654 flora and fauna have been observed till now. A total of 649 species are present in the district, in which 52.85% are insects, 21.73% plant species, 0.46% amphibian, 1.69% mammal species, 17.1% birds, and 1.39% reptiles.

## Table 1 Bird species recorded in the district.

| Number of species                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 608 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Number of rare/accidental species                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 5   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| eq:https://avibase.bsceoc.org/checklist.jsp?lang=EN&p2=1&list=clements&synlang=&region=IN whuktg&version=text&lifelist=&highlighter and the set of the set o |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>ht=0</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Forest cover (in sq. km.)

| Geographical<br>area | Very<br>dense<br>forest | Mid<br>dense<br>forest | Open<br>forest | Total   | % of<br>Geographical<br>area` | Change<br>with<br>respect to<br>2017<br>assessment | Scrub |
|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-------|
| 3642                 | 272.71                  | 1084.08                | 709.19         | 2065.98 | 56.73                         | 0.98                                               | 97.44 |



## 2.4 Tourism

## 2.5 Wetlands

The district does not consist of many wetlands. The district consists of areas like the Tehri dam reservoir, one of the large size water bodies present in the district. Table 1 represents the number of wetlands and their area representation in the district.

|                               |      |           |       |       |    | Tota | l Numb | er of  |      |      |           |                       |    |
|-------------------------------|------|-----------|-------|-------|----|------|--------|--------|------|------|-----------|-----------------------|----|
| Wetland Types                 |      | Wetlands: |       |       |    |      |        | Area ( | ha)  |      |           | Aquatic<br>Vegetation |    |
| Natural Wetlands              | NRCD | NWIA      | Diff. | <2.25 | <5 | <10  | <20    | <50    | <200 | <500 | <100<br>0 | >1000                 |    |
| Lake/ponds                    | 0    | 0         | 0     | 0     | 0  | 0    | 0      | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0         | 0                     | 0  |
| Ox-bow lakes/cut off meanders | 0    | 0         | 0     | 0     | 0  | 0    | 0      | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0         | 0                     | 0  |
| High altitude Wetlands        | 0    | 0         | 0     | 0     | 0  | 0    | 0      | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0         | 0                     | 0  |
| Riverine Wetlands             | 0    | 0         | 0     | 0     | 0  | 0    | 0      | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0         | 0                     | 0  |
| Waterlogged                   | 0    | 0         | 0     | 0     | 0  | 0    | 0      | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0         | 0                     | 0  |
| River/Stream                  | 0    | 11        | 11    | 0     | 0  | 0    | 0      | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0         | 0                     | 0  |
| Man-made Wetlands             | NRCD | NWIA      | Diff. | <2.25 | <5 | <10  | <20    | <50    | <200 | <500 | <100<br>0 | >1000                 | AV |
| Reservoirs/Barrages           | 1    | 1         | 0     | 0     | 0  | 0    | 0      | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0         | 1                     | 1  |
| Tanks/ponds                   | 0    | 0         | 0     | 0     | 0  | 0    | 0      | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0         | 0                     | 0  |
| Waterlogged                   | 0    | 0         | 0     | 0     | 0  | 0    | 0      | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0         | 0                     | 0  |
| Salt pans                     | 0    | 0         | 0     | 0     | 0  | 0    | 0      | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0         | 0                     | 0  |
| Total (39)                    | 1    | 12        | 11    | 17    | 0  | 0    | 0      | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0         | 1                     | 1  |

## Table 1: Wetland Data of Tehri Garhwal District

Source: (National River Conservation Directorate, 2008), (Space Application Centre-ISRO, 2007)

• The district comprises 29 wetlands; most of them are rivers/streams.

- One artificial wetland is available in the district.
- One wetland has aquatic vegetation.

## 2.6 Energy

## 2.6.1. Solar Energy

In Uttarakhand, operation and execution of various schemes based on non-conventional energy resources is handled by Uttarakhand Renewable Energy Development Agency (UREDA) through-local panchayats, volunteer organizations and district administrations.

The graph represented by Fig. 1 represents the percentage of households using different sources for lightning. This data is according to the 2011 census. 87.62% households depend on electricity for lightning, 9.40% on kerosene and only 2.24% on solar.





The data on the district website gives an account on the installed units utilizing solar energy in the form of solar photovoltaic and solar thermal. Under the Solar thermal scheme the state of Uttarakhand provides different programs such as Solar water heating systems, Solar cooking systems, Solar Steam cooking system and Parabolic concentrator solar water heating systems. Under Solar energy schemes there are mainly two of them one is the Mukhyamantri Saur Swarozgar Yojana and the other National Solar Policy, phase-II.

Solar water heating systems were proposed to be installed in 2019-2020 at Girls hostel, Aampata, Narendrenagar; Girls hostel Routu Ki Veli, Jonpur; Girls hostel, Koshal, Tholdhar; Girls Hostel, Akhodi, Bhilangana and Girls Hostel, Sujadgaon, Pratapnagar of 2300 LPD capacity in the district Tehri Garhwal.

442 Solar cookers were installed under the Mid day meal and market mode programme up to the year 2015-2016.

Concentrating solar technology (CST) is a device which can concentrate solar radiation using mirrors to produce temperatures in the range of 100 to 450°C or more. These are very useful in medium and high temperatures required for various applications. A CST system was installed in 2011-2012 in the district of 16 sqm. at Gau Tirth Ashram, Koteshwapuram, Tehri for distillation of cow urine for medicinal use.

A 4MWp solar panel has been installed at Thal, Nainbag. Solar Panels have also been installed at Kastal (Patti Nagun) and Lingwana (Devprayag) villages of 200 kW and 400kW capacity each. Another project costing 200 Lakh and employing 3 people of 500kW capacity at the village Silkoti has been installed.

#### 2.6.2.Biomass Energy

In Uttarakhand, operation and execution of various schemes based on non-conventional energy resources is handled by Uttarakhand Renewable Energy Development Agency (UREDA) through local panchayats, volunteer organizations and district administrations.

The economy and the livelihood of the district is dependent on agriculture and hence a good amount of biomass is produced in the form of agricultural residue. The cropping intensity of the district is 157.40% with 56200 ha of net sown area and 88460 ha as the gross sown area. The major crops and their productivity is given in table 1.

| CROP            | PRODUCTIVITY (q/ha) |
|-----------------|---------------------|
| Rice            | 25-30               |
| Finger millet   | 20-23               |
| Barnyard millet | 20-23               |
| Urd             | 12-23               |
| Soyabean        | 30-35               |
| Arhar           | 16-20               |
| Wheat           | 30-35               |
| Gram            | 20-26               |
|                 |                     |

Table 1

The district Tehri Garhwal has 56.73% of its area under forests out of the total geographical area which accounts to 2065.98 sq. km. 272.71 sq. km. area is under very dense forests, 1084.08 is under moderately dense forests and 709.19 sq. km. is under open forests. The biomass production of chir pine (*Pinus roxburghi*) from the forests of the district accounts between 105.8-132.7 Mg/ha (Sharma et. al. 2020).



Fig. 1

According to the data from the 2011 census (as depicted in figure), 59% people use firewood, 38% use LPG/PNG, 2% kerosene and 1% crop residue as cooking fuel.

The district website and the other resources available do not have any data about the biomass energy plants. Hence it is clear that in spite of having a good source of biomass in the form of agriculture residue and forest residue the district lacks awareness about biomass energy.

#### 2.6.3.Biogas Energy

Five family-sized biogas plants have been installed in the district between the years 2009 to 2019. One biogas power generation plant, "Uttarakhand Gau Sambardhan, Gau-Tirthashram, Koteshwarpuram," of capacity 85 m<sup>3</sup>, was installed in the district. Biogas potential has been evaluated by average livestock and agricultural waste production. Biogas potential from animal waste is calculated approximately as fifty-five lakh m<sup>3</sup>/year and two crores m<sup>3</sup>/year from agricultural waste. This amount of biogas generation can efficiently complete the energy demand of the district.

#### **2.6.4.Hydropower Energy**

The rushing Bhagirathi River, which runs through the district, appears to divide it in half, while the Bhilangna, Alaknanda, Ganga, and Yamuna rivers border it on the east and west, respectively. Two hydropower plants are in operation, and twenty-three hydropower stations are under construction in the district.

# **3 QUALITATIVE DATA ANALYSIS**

#### 3.1 AGRICULTURE, ALLIED ACTIVITIES,

#### **SWOT** Analysis for Organic Farming

Based on the data analysis related to the cropping pattern, production, yields, and use of chemical fertilizers, we find that the district has ideal conditions for sustainable agricultural practices, including natural and organic farming. Organic farming in high-value ragi, saava, urad, kulthi, mustard, and soybean can be promoted on a cluster basis under the PKVY and Namami Gange schemes within the crop sector. Within horticulture, off-season vegetables, including Potato, cabbage, and the production of fruits, can be developed. Technology transfer through KVK and horticulture and agricultural universities of the region can help the organic farmers reduce the cost of cultivation and enhance productivity per unit of land, labor, and other resources. To understand the potential of up-scaling of organic farming in the district, we conduct the SWOT analysis as given below:

#### SWOT Analysis of Organic Farming in the District

| <b>Strengths</b>                                            | <u>Weaknesses</u>                        |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| • Suitability of agro-ecology for horticulture              | <ul> <li>Ecological Fragility</li> </ul> |
| and livestock                                               | • Small and scattered land holdings      |
| <ul> <li>Agro-biodiversity and multiple cropping</li> </ul> | • Lower productivity during the          |
| System                                                      | transition period                        |
| • A natural advantage for organic farming                   | • Inadequate marketing infrastructure    |
| (OF) as agriculture is almost chemical-free                 | and market linkages                      |
| • The abundance of organic manure (forest                   | • Knowledge and skills-deficit in OF     |
| leaves and cattle urine & Dung)                             | • Lack of effective monitoring           |
| • Community-based certification system                      | • Inadequate irrigation facilities       |
| (PGS)                                                       | • Inadequate testing and certification   |
| • Less dependence on external inputs                        | system                                   |
| • Agriculture and Horticulture Universities in              | • Lack of effective farmers'             |
| the region                                                  | Organisation                             |
| • Market Proximity (Dehradun, Delhi NCR)                    | • Poor road connectivity to remote       |
|                                                             | villages                                 |
| Opportunities                                               | Threats                                  |
| • Consolidation of agricultural holdings                    | • The intrusion of wild animals          |
| • Income-elastic demand for organic                         | • Abandonment of agriculture due to      |
| products                                                    | out-migration                            |
| • Crop-livestock integrated farming system                  | • Vulnerability to natural factors       |
| • High tourists flow and scope for agro-                    | (landslides and disasters)               |
| tourism                                                     | • The declining number of cattle and     |
| <ul> <li>Common "Namami Gange" brand</li> </ul>             | buffaloes                                |
| <ul> <li>Possibility of Convergence with</li> </ul>         | • High transaction cost                  |
| MGNREGA                                                     | <ul> <li>Market risks</li> </ul>         |
| Local employment generation                                 | Women drudgery                           |
| • Agro-processing at primary and secondary                  |                                          |
| levels                                                      |                                          |
| <ul> <li>Government Institutional and policy</li> </ul>     |                                          |
| support                                                     |                                          |
|                                                             |                                          |

#### Highlights-2

NSA in the district is less than 12% of the total reported area, indicating less potential for development of the crop sector.

The area under forest is about 66% of the total reported area. Forestbased livelihood activities have the potential for income generation.

Marginal and small farmers constituted about 95% of the total farmers of the district.

Agriculture is dominated by food grains which comprise 93% of the GCA. Three cereal crops-wheat, ragi and saava together constituted 57% of the GCA.

Variability in the production of different crops is higher than in the area under these crops. Variability in production depends on the variability in area and variability yield.

Pratapnagar block of the district has been made fully organic.

Per hectare, the use of chemical fertilizers is almost negligible. Agriculture in the district is almost chemical-free, and farmers have a natural advantage to do organic farming.

Agriculture is mostly under rain-fed conditions. The net cultivated area under irrigation is low.

There are three deterrents in promoting HVCs—out-migration, the menace of wild animals, and interspersing of cultivated landholding with inactive and abandoned landholding.

The possibility of evolving an economically viable model of convergence of MGNREGA activities with the concerned line departments can be explored to promote HVCs and improve people's

#### **3.2** FORESTRY

The chir, the oaks, the conifers, the sal, the deodar, the haldlu. the yew, the cypress, the rhododendron, the birch, the horse chestnut, the cycamore the willow, the alder and various types of fruit trees like the cornel, the figs, the kaiPhal, the mulberry, the kingora, the raspberry, the blackberry, currants, medlars, gooseberries, hazelnuts, apples, pears, cherries, apricots, plums, peaches, oranges, limes, bananas, pomegranates and walnuts are found in the district besides a variety of herbal plants bushes, scrubs and grass(District Website).

District has dense forests of Sal, Deodar, Chir, Oak trees. These trees are important for furniture and as a structural material in the local areas.

The Devalsari region is the district is likely to be declared as the first biodiversity heritage site of Uttarakhand. Different kinds of flora and fauna including smaller species like butterflies and moths are found abundantly in Devalsari region. A group of five van (forest) panchayats including Bangsil, Teva, Moldhar, Butkottheek and locals working to protect the area sent a detailed proposal to the biodiversity board last month (Hindustan Times, 2021).

In Tehri Garhwal the tree species like Grewia optiva, Celtis australis, Melia azedarach, Morus alba, Emblica officinalis etc were common in the lower Himalayan region. The Grewia optiva is one of the species that thrives the diverse altitudinal range and used for the fodder purpose in the lean period during winter season. In Tehri Garhwal the tree species like Grewia optiva, Celtis australis, Melia azedarach, Morus alba, Emblica officinalis et are common in the lower Himalayan Region. The Grewia optiva is one of the species that thrives the diverse altitudinal range and used for the fodder purpose in the lean period during winter season (Bijalwan, 2013).

## 3.2.1. Biodiversity

Many trees can be found here, including chir, Oaks, Conifers, Sal, Deodar, Haldu, Yew, Cypress, Rhododendron, Birch, Horse-Chestnut, Cycamore, Willow, and Alder. Brahmi and Ashwagandha are only two of the many therapeutic herbs, shrubs, and bushes found here. Cornel, Figs, Kaiphal, Mulberry, Kingora, Raspberry, Blackberry, Currants, Medlars, Gooseberries, Hazelnuts, Apples, Pears, Cherries, Apricots, Plums, Peaches, Oranges, Limes, Bananas, Pomegranates, and Walnuts are among the fruits available.

Tehri Garhwal is home to various animals, including mammals, reptiles, fish, and birds. Monkeys, Langurs, Wild Cats, Goats, Pigs, Foxes, Wild Dogs, Black Bears, and Flying Squirrels abound in the forests (locally called Rinoola). When coming from Dehradun, elephants can only be found in the Terai woods. The highly endangered Musk Deer, also known as the Kastura, is the star (and elusive) attraction in Tehri Garhwal's woodlands. The Tehri Garhwal region is home to various carnivore species, including the Snow Leopard, Leopard, and Tiger. The Snow Leopard is a rare and endangered species. Pheasants, Kalij, Koklas, Cheers, Monal, Wild Fowls, Harial, Parrots, Chatak, Papiha, Haldu, Neelkanth, Pigeons, Partridges, Kala Titar, Chakor, and Neora, are among the avian species. Cobra, Russell's Viper, Ancistrodon Himalayans, Rat Snake, Leech, and Blood-Sucking Lizard are among the reptiles found in the area.

## 3.3 ENERGY

As per the data of the year 2013, Tehri Garhwal district energy consumption is around 132 TJ/year and 5.5 GJ/capita/year. GHG emission of 8,950 Ton  $CO_2$  equivalent and 0.373 Ton  $CO_2$  equivalent/capita has been evaluated for the district.

## 5.5.1. Solar Energy

The district has been progressing in the solar energy sector from quite a good time and has achieved well in this sector. It has been in the news quite often.

According to a recent news article in Amar Ujala- The Chauras, Srinagar and Tehri campuses of HNB Garhwal (Central) University will soon be solar powered. The plant will save the university about two rupees per unit. Under the scheme of the central government, solar power plants are to be set up in government institutions to eliminate dependence on conventional sources of energy. Under this, the central government signed an agreement with a company. As per the agreement, the cost and maintenance of the project will be borne by the company for 25 years. In return, the university will buy electricity at the rate of Rs 1.90 per unit. Solar rooftop plants of 480 KW capacity have been installed in the University's Chauras campus, 250 KW in Srinagar and 50 KW in Tehri.

An article in PV magazine reads "Solar-plus-storage at a hilltop ashram". According to this article-Loom Solar has installed a solar plus storage system at a small ashram in the hills of Tehri Garhwal, Uttarakhand. The system comprises 6x440W Shark Super high-efficiency PV panels, a 5kWh (100Ah/51.2V) Atom lithium battery, and a 5kVA high-frequency design (string) inverter. On the challenges faced in installation, Loom Solar co-founder and director Amol Anand told **pv magazine**, the location being a hilly area, it was a challenge to carry the products on foot from the delivery location to the installation site. The distance was about 1.5 km, and it took approx. 30 minutes (to and fro) to bring the product to the site."

Despite having difficult terrain, the district has been quite progressive in the solar energy sector.

#### 5.5.2. Biomass Energy

The district Tehri Garhwal has not witnessed much development in the biomass energy sector, but has a lot of products ine forests caus the form of pine forests. Often it has been in the news that there have been forest fires in these pining a lot of destruction.

A news article in The New Indian Express, reads "Uttarakhand forest fire: IAF's helicopter participates in fire fighting operation in Tehri Garhwal". According to this news article the fire broke out in 62 hectares of forest area.

There have been a lot of forest fires in the district which cause a lot of pollution. Also to avoid these wild fires these are burnt every year in winters. So an alternate way is to utilize these for bioenergy production which would not only help in saving the environment but also provide a lot of locals with employment. Bioenergy could be generated using both the agro wastes and the forest wastes.

## 5.5.3. Biogas Energy

Under the scheme, the National Biogas and Manure Management Programme eighty-eight biogas plant of capacity 3 m<sup>3</sup> has been installed from the year 2014 to 2020.

## **5.5.4. Hydropower Energy**

In 2006, the Tehri dam in northern India was opened to produce water for electrical generation, agriculture, and drinking water. It was meant to counterbalance 150 years of sedimentation and has a 95% sediment trap effectiveness. The primary strategy for minimizing silt intake into the Tehri reservoir is watershed management.

The Tehri Dam is a rock and earth-fill embankment dam on the Bhagirathi River in Tehri, Uttarakhand, India. It serves as the major dam for THDC India Ltd. and the Tehri hydropower complex. The first phase was finished in 2006. The Tehri Dam has a reservoir for agriculture, urban water supply, and hydroelectric power generating 1,000 megawatts (1,300,000 hp). The dam's 1,000 MW variable-speed pumped-storage project is now under development and is scheduled to be completed in 2025.

## 3.4 TOURISM

#### 3.5 WETLAND

Wetlands provide many ecosystems and habitats for a variety of species. Wetlands create a oneof-a-kind ecosystem that supports many species simultaneously, including aquatic, terrestrial, and human beings. The district has numerous potential sources and opportunities to harness valuable products through the scheme and launch the pilot project. Local stakeholders rely on the wetland for income and small-scale business. These businesses can be transformed into large-scale production hubs with the right approach. The district is known for its adventures and trek spots. The district has a long history. The data gathered and analyzed demonstrates the region's Production and potential products derived from the raw product. The list of sources and the possible products are mentioned below:

- The flora of the district may be divided into six main botanical divisions : (1) the tropical dry deciduous forests, (2) the sal forests, (3) the chir forests, (4) the deodar, fir and spruce forests, (5) the oak forests and (6) the Alpine pastures.
- The chir, oaks, conifers, sal, deodar, haldlu. yew, cypress, rhododendron, birch, horse chestnut, cycamore, willow, and alder are fodder-rich trees.

- Various types of fruit trees like cornel, figs, kaiPhal, mulberry, kingora, raspberry, blackberry, currants, medlars, gooseberries, hazelnuts, apples, pears, cherries, apricots, plums, peaches, oranges, limes, bananas, pomegranates and walnuts are found in the district
- a variety of herbal plants, bushes, scrubs, and grass for ayurvedic medicinal plants.

The Jalkur, Aglar, Bhillangana, Bhagirathi (the Ganga) and Alaknanda abound in the larger fish.

# 4 ACTION PLAN DEVELOPMENT

#### 4.1 AGRICULTURE

#### 4.2 FORESTRY

Neighboring state Himachal Pradesh has a great forest scheme: 'Van Samridhi Jan Samridhi Yojana.' This scheme enables locals to earn money by growing medicinal plants. This same type of scheme can be implemented throughout the patch of Ganga River meandering through dense forest rich areas. Tehri Garhwal is one of such areas where important medicinal and aromatic plants and agroforestry practices exist. These species yield high value in the pharmaceutical industry. Similar schemes if implemented in the district can create competitive market and livelihood opportunities. The network of collection centers can be established in each gram panchayat, these collection centers will provide the monetary benefits along with technical support. These gram panchayats can also start e-commerce and export of medicinal plants to required customers. Establishing the district collection and information center where industry-local citizen seminars & interaction can happen.

With a great amount of inland water surfaces and dense forests, eco-tourism is another commercial option for this sector. Eco-tourism can include forest trails, National parks, and sanctuaries. Orchid conservation center, Herbal Garden can also be important milestones in these tours. These tours will bridge the forest, tourism, and service industry and can help to boost local employment.

#### 4.2.1. Biodiversity

Precaution, reducing human-caused fires by education and environmental change, such as agricultural operations, engineering projects, public engagement, and so on, will aid in the control of forest fires. Government should provide funds for installing new technology and research to prevent forest fires.

#### 4.3 TOURISM

#### 4.4 WETLANDS

Some of the most productive wetland ecosystems are found in this district. They sustain and offer goods and services to millions of people. They support aquatic vegetation and wildlife and various bird species, especially migratory birds. Floods are reduced, and groundwater is replenished. They must be looked after, and action must be made on various fronts. The action plan below shows how to protect, conserve, and revitalize extant and extinct wetlands.



#### 4.5 ENERGY

#### 4.5.1 Solar

The district Tehri Garhwal has a good potential of solar energy. It can use solar energy in both ways, that is-photovoltaic and thermal. The solar thermal energy can be used in hotels, restaurants, hospitals in the form of solar water heaters, solar rooms heaters, etc. This will decrease their dependency on the utility supply to operate the heating appliances.

The state has recently launched a scheme called Mukhyamantri Saur Swarozgar Yojana, which benefits those people who are unemployed. The people in the district should be made aware about it. This will provide the people with a source of income and decrease the burden of discoms. Other than this, there is Kusum Yojana, of central government, under which solar feeder segregation can be of great help to the farmers. This will ultimately bring advancement in the farming techniques as there will be proper supply of power to their fields.

Also a research in this field in the institutes of the district would not only help the district to bring advancement in the techniques but also increase the standards of these institutes. The district is in

a hilly terrain, so a research on easy installations of the solar panels in the difficult terrain would be of great help.

## PROJECTION AND MONITORING

First thing that needs to be done is to spread awareness in those parts of the district where solar energy has still not made its place. People should be made aware about the different policies of the government.

Secondly, to increase employment and the source of income of the farmers, the Mukhyamantri Saur Swarozgar Yojana should be made easily available to the beneficiaries. Also the solar feeder segregation under Kusum Yojana should be elaborated in the district.

Thirdly, infrastructurally backward areas should be provided with financial assistance for off grid solar panels, so that they do not lag behind due to unavailability of power.

Fourthy, use of solar thermal energy should be also encouraged, especially in hotels, hospitals, restaurants, schools, etc.

Lastly, so a research on easy installations of the solar panels in the difficult terrain- may be by altering the design, technology, etc.

## 4.5.2 Biomass

The main economic activity of the district Tehri Garhal is agriculture, which is a good source of biomass. The district needs to make its people aware about biomass energy. The district can take example from the other fellow districts in the state which have been producing bioenergy from the agro based residues and the used cooking oil. Other than making people aware, the authorities should make a proper channel of biomass transport so that the farmers and the other beneficiaries get the correct price and also that they do not charge in excess from the biomass plant owners. A proper planning is also required for a well-connected transport and storage system, so that the sellers do not have to hustle for these things.

The district Tehri Garhwal also deals with the problem of forest fires. These forest fires harm the wildlife and cause pollution as well. In order to avoid these forest fires, every year the pine needles are burnt in the jungles before the summer season. So by both ways there is harm to the environment. Hence attention should be paid on producing energy from pine needles. The state government has a policy of setting up pine needles based biomass gasifiers. To incline more and more people towards this, the government should make rules to ease setting up the biomass plants. This would give a chance to the fresh entrepreneurs. Also those involved in collecting these needles should be given proper wages and provided with safety measures.

This altogether will lead to increase in employment opportunities in the district and there will be development in a sustainable manner.

## PROJECTION AND MONITORING MATRIX

The first thing which needs to be done is to make people aware of biomass energy and how they can benefit from it. Also farmers should be educated about how they can add to their income.

Secondly, the government should plan a proper channelized system for transportation and also conduct a district based survey to set up storage houses for the agricultural residues.

Thirdly, encouraging more and more firms to set up pirul based biomass plants is also important because this will not only keep the environment safe but also provide employment and clean and green power to the district.

Fourthly, district oriented research work is required so that the district can flourish and improve its livelihood in a sustainable manner.

## 4.5.3 Biogas

To provide decentralized energy sources, the government should support and focus on installing family size biogas plants in rural areas of the district.

#### 4.5.4 Hydropower

A decent number of hydropower plant exist in the district. However, small hydropower can be installed in many rural areas to reduce water scarcity and provide electricity.

## **5 RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### **5.1. Agriculture and allied sectors**

- There is a huge scope for improving the livelihood of local people, regeneration of natural capital, and abating youths' migration through the promotion of organic agriculture, horticulture and agroforestry, and tourism.
- Some landowners migrated and settled elsewhere and kept their tiny landholdings uncultivated and such lands become the shelter of wild animals, which quite often damage the crops of nearby farmers. It discourages the remaining farmers not to doing farming. The government horticulture and forest departments should take uncultivated and barren lands on lease from such absentee farmers. The departments can do large-scale horticulture and agroforestry activities under MGNREGA convergence. These departments can set up horticulture and agroforestry zones and prepare the DPRs under the convergence

framework, with detailed techno-economic feasibility and socio-economic and environmental impact analysis.

- The departments can do wire fencing of these zones to protect the crops and plantations from wild animals. Such fencing would be more cost-effective than the fencing of individual farmers' land.
- Ragi, saava, urad kulthi, Mustard, Soybean and Potato, turmeric, ginger, chilies, garlic, and onion, Mushrooms, kiwi, and capsicum, and medicinal crops like Dandelion, rosemary, lavender, and kutki are promising crops in the district. Marketing support and R&D intensification can make these crops more remunerative for farmers.
- Horticulture Mini Mission and Apple Mission programmes have been introduced in the district to boost fruits and vegetable production. These types of more programme should be implemented for other fruits and vegetables.
- There is a huge scope for animal husbandry, such as cattle and poultry for allied income activity of the farmers.
- Agriculture in the district is almost chemical-free, and farmers have a natural advantage to do organic farming. However, the three deterrents—out-migration, the menace of wild animals, and interspersing of cultivated landholding with inactive and abandoned landholding are to be addressed.
- The possibility of evolving an economically viable model of convergence of MGNREGA activities with the concerned line departments would be explored to promote HVCs and improve people's livelihood. The R&D and extension services need to be oriented toward HVCs, horticulture, livestock, and agroforestry.
- The area under forest is about 66% of the total reported area. Forest-based livelihood activities have the potential for income generation in the district.
- A three-tier framework of agro-processing (primary, secondary and tertiary processing) can be set up to reduce post-harvest losses and generate value addition and local employment.
- Agriculture production in the district has a high level of variability and is vulnerable to natural factors. Compulsory and free crop insurance should be provided to protect farmers' livelihood and income.
- A new institutional framework needs to be set up at the district level. The concerned line departments' technical, human and financial resources may be pooled or converged together to provide customized solutions to the farmers related to technology, training, marketing needs, and other advisory services.

- The Organic Farming Act is a good initiative, and its provisions should be effectively enforced. A long-term system of incentive as well as regulation may be evolved to retain the existing farmers and motivate others to move towards the sustainable farming system. Training for vermicompost, bio-fertilizers, and bio-pesticides production and use should be provided to the farmers to commercialize organic foods on a large scale.
- There is a need to construct small water harvesting structures, drip and sprinklers irrigation systems, and Poly-houses and greenhouses to up-scale and commercialize the off-season organic vegetable crops.
- The district's farmers benefit from weather forecasting services provided by GKMS, KVK, and ATMA. However, there is a need for more farmer participation and awareness.
- There is an immediate need to scale-up agricultural and allied activities, small scale and
- There is an immediate need to scale-up agricultural and allied activities, small scale and medium scale agro-based enterprises to generate the livelihood of the rural people to prevent the migration to the other states.
- There is a need to improve the agricultural productivity of crops by introducing high yield seeds, application of organic fertilizer and pesticides, and improving pre and post-harvest management techniques.
- There is a need to construct some water harvesting structures to promote Off-Season Vegetable cultivations under favorable hilly climatic conditions.
- There is a need to encourage the farmers to adopt drip and sprinkler irrigation which will save precious water resources and also increase crop production.
- Training for vermicompost uses should be provided to the farmers.
- There is a need to commercialize organic foods on a large scale.
- The district has a huge scope for the cultivation of medicinal crops like Dandelion, rosemary, lavender, and kutki for commercial purposes.
- Horticulture Mini Mission and Apple Mission programmes have been introduced in the district to boost fruits and vegetable production. This type of programme should be implemented for other fruits and vegetables.
- There is a huge scope for the promotion of spice crops cultivation such as turmeric, ginger. These crops require less irrigation and provide a good return to the farmers.
- Farmers could grow chilies, garlic, and onion as cash crops under the irrigation facility.
- There is a huge scope for animal husbandry, such as cattle, poultry for allied income activity of the farmers.
- High-value crops like mushroom, kiwi, and capsicum cultivation should be promoted.
- Farmers in the district benefit from weather forecasting services provided by GKMS, KVK, and ATMA. However, there is a need for more farmer participation and awareness.
- There is a scope for expansion of Poly house and greenhouse for the off-season vegetable cultivation, which could generate more income for the farmers.

## 5.2. Forestry

- Tehri Garhwal currently holds enough forest area to fulfill the national policy requirement of 33%. But what the district lacks is organizational productivity through the forestry sector.
- It is important for local government and policy makers to establish trust between forest product collectors and organizations. Local governments can invite foreign investors and Indian pharmaceutical companies for collaboration between tribal people and new business opportunities.
- Major timber species are found in the district, and also various medicinal plants are present in the region. There is huge potential for clusters and emporiums to sell these products to the tourists in the region.
- District is famous for religious places and dense forests. Eco-tourism combined with marketing of the local NTFP products can be beneficial for the district economy.
- Many farmers in the district practice Agroforestry in the district. With the help of market and production machinery government can help local people with fruit and agriculture production and increase livelihood opportunities.

## 5.2.1. Biodiversity

- It is recommended to intensify and strengthen the patrolling to prevent criminal operations such as poaching and forest fire.
- As most human leopard conflicts happen in the district, preventing measures such as patrolling regularly and installing cameras on places that villagers use to collect forest resources.

## 5.3. Tourism

## 5.4. Wetlands

The wetlands must be preserved, but they must also be wisely planned in order to benefit the district economically, socially, and environmentally, resulting in a significant reduction in stress from the Ganga River. It will also reduce the local population's reliance on the Ganga river for small-scale industry or basic daily needs. The following recommendations and interventions are required to obtain valuable products and resolve issues/challenges faced by the people of that region.

- Preservations of the tals present in the district and develop them as tourist attractions like fish parks.
- Training the local people about organic farming to build small farms of medicinal plants and herbs

- It is recommended to promote animal husbandry in the area. This provides a boost to the economic growth of the local people.
- It is recommended to promote forest areas in the region to support the forest-related industry, and wetlands can support the water supply for the cultivation.
- It is recommended that government institutions collect the forest products make available to small industries to develop eco-friendly products like handicraft products.
- It is recommended to improve the cultivation of fishes in the region to boost the fishery.

#### 5.5. Energy

## 5.5.1. Solar

- People should be made aware about the different policies of the government, especially the Mukhyamantri Saur Swarozgar Yojana.
- Kusum Yojana should be promoted among farmers and they should be made aware about its different components. Solar feeder segregation should be given importance.
- Attention should be paid on infrastructure development so that the National Solar Mission is able to render its benefits to the people.
- If proper steps are taken then the district can make itself better in the solar energy sector and enhance the livelihood of the people.

## 5.5.2. Biomass

- People should be made aware about biomass energy and the policies related to it.
- Attention should be paid to increasing biomass energy production from the pirul based plants, so that the environment remains safe.
- A proper transport and storage system should be developed to encourage people to incline towards biomass energy.
- The local governments should come forward with the people and set up biomass based gasifiers.

## 5.5.3. Biogas

• It is recommended to construct more family-size biogas plants by implementing the GOBAR-Dhan scheme, which focuses on cleaning villages, increasing rural households' income, and generating biogas and organic fertilizer from cattle waste.

## 5.5.4. Hydropower

• It is recommended to build a green belt to check soil erosion and resultant siltation around the reservoir, protect and regenerate vegetation, and increase the landscape's natural beauty.

## 6. Discussion during the Report Presentation

- The place holds a great opportunity for homestays and eco-tourism near Tehri Lake.
- The farmers are being trained on sustainable farming system.
- The Jalaj model of Tehri-Garhwal is involved in training locals for production of multiple local produce which can be associated with the Delhi Haat.
- There will be regular coordination SPMG for further implementation of the interventions.
- The IIML Report for Arth Ganga should be a regular Agenda item for next 6-8 DGC meetings.
- Hon'ble PM during the post-Budget webinar on Tourism had spoken about market potential of destination weddings. It was suggested that suitable Ashrams in Ganga Basin may be identified for such purpose to promote blissful experience, cost reduction, livelihood opportunities and better upkeep.
- Allocate separate space for Namami Gange Awareness and Jalaj Marketing kiosk in Melas/Congregatios/Fairs for providing better marketing opportunities to the Jalaj products.
- As Dilli Haat Centre Namami Gange Awareness and Marketing Centre is being launched soon, it was requested that every district to identify niche products with a creative story and link it with Jalaj in their area.
- To identify Arth Ganga Tourist Trails and organize Ganga Guide training
- Promotion of Natural Farming in Ganga Basin and training workshops should be organized on a regular basis. NMCG is supporting this initiative in coordination with MoA& FW and NCOF.
- Make plans for reuse of treated waste water for agriculture, industrial etc. purpose and also the sludge.
- Training of volunteers for Ganga awareness & Aarti workshops to promote regular aartis on Ghats.

## **7 References**

https://censusindia.gov.in/2011census/dchb/0504\_PART\_A\_DCHB\_TEHRI%20GARHWAL.pdf https://censusindia.gov.in/2011census/dchb/0504\_PART\_B\_DCHB\_TEHRI%20GARHWAL.pdf https://fsi.nic.in/isfr19/vol2/isfr-2019-vol-ii-uttarakhand.pdf https://tehrigarhwal.kvk4.in/district-profile.html https://www.koreascience.or.kr/article/JAKO202010163509507.pdf https://www.newindianexpress.com/nation/2021/apr/05/uttarakhand-forest-fire-iafs-helicopterparticipates-in-fire-fighting-operation-in-tehri-garhwal-2286121.html https://ureda.uk.gov.in/dpages/solar-tharmal-schemes https://ureda.uk.gov.in/dpages/solar-energy-schemes https://www.amarujala.com/uttarakhand/pauri/garhwal-university-will-be-illuminated-with-solarenergy-shrinagar-news-drn388911789

Bijalwan, A. (2013). VEGETATION STATUS OF AGROFORESTRY SYSTEMS IN TEHRI DISTRICT OF GARHWAL HIMALAYA, INDIA.

FSI. (2019). Forest Survey of India Uttarakhand.

Hindustan Times. (2021). Devalsari likely to become Uttarakhand's first biodiversity heritage site.

Indian District Stat. (n.d.).

# **8 APPENDICES**

Table 2 Biogas potential from animal waste.

| Livestock | Residue | Total      | Manure   | Total manure  | Average     | Dry manure | Manure               | Biogas               |
|-----------|---------|------------|----------|---------------|-------------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|           | type    | population | yield*   | generation    | collection  | after      | required             | potential            |
|           |         | as of 2012 | (kg/day) | annually (kg) | (75%)       | removing   | for                  | (m <sup>3</sup> /yr) |
|           |         |            |          |               |             | Moisture   | biogas*              |                      |
|           |         |            |          |               |             | content    | (kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) |                      |
| Cattle    | Manure  | 100186     | 10       | 36,56,78,900  | 274259175   | 54851835   | 25                   | 2194073.4            |
| Buffalo   | Manure  | 91350      | 15       | 50,01,41,250  | 375105937.5 | 75021187.5 | 25                   | 3000847.5            |
| Sheep     | Manure  | 43323      | 1        | 1,58,12,895   | 11859671.25 | 2371934.25 | 25                   | 94877.37             |
| Goat      | Manure  | 125899     | 1        | 4,59,53,135   | 34464851.25 | 6892970.25 | 25                   | 275718.81            |

| Pig     | Manure | 609      | 2.5 | 5,55,713  | 416784.375  | 83356.875  | 25 | 3334.275    |
|---------|--------|----------|-----|-----------|-------------|------------|----|-------------|
| Poultry | manure | 58,941   | 0.1 | 21,51,347 | 1613509.875 | 322701.975 | 25 | 12908.079   |
| Total   |        | 4,20,308 |     |           |             |            |    | 5581759.434 |

Table 3 Biogas potential from agricultural waste.

| Crop  | resid | Total crop | Residue  | Residue | Average    | Moisture | Residue      | Biogas    | Overall   |
|-------|-------|------------|----------|---------|------------|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
|       | ue    | productio  | producti | amount  | collection | content  | amount after | potential | biogas    |
|       | type  | n (tons)   | on ratio | (tons)  | (70%)      |          | removing     | [m3/(tons | potential |
|       |       | (2017-18)  |          |         |            |          | moisture     | of dry    | (m3)      |
|       |       |            |          |         |            |          | (tons)       | matter)]  |           |
| Maiz  | straw | 3449       | 1.5      | 5173.5  | 3621.45    | 15       | 3078.2325    | 800       | 2462586   |
| e     |       |            |          |         |            |          |              |           |           |
| Whe   | straw | 31581      | 1.5      | 47371.5 | 33160.05   | 30       | 23212.035    | 800       | 1856962   |
| at    |       |            |          |         |            |          |              |           | 8         |
| Rice  | husk  | 18694      | 0.25     | 4673.5  | 3271.45    | 80       | 654.29       | 750       | 490717.5  |
| Total |       | 53724      |          |         |            |          |              |           | 2152293   |
|       |       |            |          |         |            |          |              |           | 1.5       |